

Regulation Flash

Madrid, 14 April 2014
Economic Analysis

Regulation and Public
Policies Unit

María Abascal
maria.abascal@bbva.com

Rosa Gómez
rosa.gomez@bbva.com

High quality securitisation

Separating the wheat from the chaff

The Bank of England (BoE) and the European Central Bank (ECB) published last Friday a joint paper ahead of G20/IMF Spring meetings. The paper has been released amid renewed political discussion on the need to support the recovery of securitisation, as it could be beneficial to fill the long term financial gap for the real economy, especially for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). The regulatory stance towards securitisation appears to be changing from an overall penalising framework to a new approach of ensuring a preferential treatment to high quality securitisation. A longer discussion paper will be jointly issue in May.

Overview

- **Both central banks hint that the overall stigma of securitization is not justified for high-quality securitisation**, that is, simple and transparent structures versus complex and opaque ones.
- **They highlight the need for revitalising European securitisation markets.** It would help filling the funding gap and would support the transmission of the monetary policy action in a moment where the bank lending channel is impaired.
- **According to their analysis, the cumulative default rate** on European Asses Backed Securities accounts for 1.5% (July 2007-3Q 2013) while US ABS default rate stands for 18.4% (same period).

Regulation measures

- **The BoE and the ECB call for the easing of “unduly generalized punitive” regulation.** They suggest that there is a need for a differentiated preferential regulatory treatment for high quality securitization.
- **Regulatory measures still penalising securitisations:** The Basel capital and liquidity framework punish securitization. It envisages a high capital consumption for ABS regarding solvency and most of ABS (other than RMBS) do not qualify to meet the Liquidity Coverage Ratio.
- **Measures already adopted to improve transparency:** A minimum 5% risk retention requirement by originators together with the loan by loan reporting data initiative to all major ABS are relevant initiatives to enhance the level of transparency and to align risk incentives in this market which should contribute restoring investors' confidence. Moreover, some private initiatives, such as Prime Collateralised Securitites, are aimed at consolidating a label of high and transparent securitisations.
- **Recent European public actions:** The Eurosystem collateral policy was modified in July 2013 so as to decrease haircuts on ABS with transparent and standardized structures. EIOPA has already distinguished between securitisations of type A (high quality) and B (complex) for Solvency II, the European Commission in its recent Communication on long term financing has also committed to give a preferential treatment to those assets and EBA is analyzing the definition of the high quality securitization.

- **The paper does not enter into details but recommends concerted policy action in various fields and involving a range of official entities.** The way forward is to distinguish between high quality securitizations versus the others. The central bank eligibility criteria should be a useful starting point for the definition of high quality securitization.
- **According to recent European initiatives, the key aspect to define a high quality securitization might be concepts such as:** simple structure, granularity or exposure, level of transparency and information, and lower dependence on rating.
- **Assessment:** A preferential treatment for high quality securitisation is very positive and welcome as a catalyzer of funding, especially for SMEs. A first step to kick start this market is to differentiate simple and transparent securitisation from the rest. Nevertheless, credit ultimately relies on more structural fundamentals of the economy.

DISCLAIMER

This document has been prepared by BBVA Research Department, it is provided for information purposes only and expresses data, opinions or estimations regarding the date of issue of the report, prepared by BBVA or obtained from or based on sources we consider to be reliable, and have not been independently verified by BBVA. Therefore, BBVA offers no warranty, either express or implicit, regarding its accuracy, integrity or correctness.

Estimations this document may contain have been undertaken according to generally accepted methodologies and should be considered as forecasts or projections. Results obtained in the past, either positive or negative, are no guarantee of future performance.

This document and its contents are subject to changes without prior notice depending on variables such as the economic context or market fluctuations. BBVA is not responsible for updating these contents or for giving notice of such changes.

BBVA accepts no liability for any loss, direct or indirect, that may result from the use of this document or its contents.

This document and its contents do not constitute an offer, invitation or solicitation to purchase, divest or enter into any interest in financial assets or instruments. Neither shall this document nor its contents form the basis of any contract, commitment or decision of any kind.

In regard to investment in financial assets related to economic variables this document may cover, readers should be aware that under no circumstances should they base their investment decisions in the information contained in this document. Those persons or entities offering investment products to these potential investors are legally required to provide the information needed for them to take an appropriate investment decision.

The content of this document is protected by intellectual property laws. It is forbidden its reproduction, transformation, distribution, public communication, making available, extraction, reuse, forwarding or use of any nature by any means or process, except in cases where it is legally permitted or expressly authorized by BBVA.