

## Box 2. Chile's economic reform programme

Chile's GDP per capita is close to USD19,000 PPP, the highest in Latin America, but recent social movements in the country reveal unmet demands in education, healthcare and policy. To face these issues, the current administration headed by Michelle Bachelet has proposed a tax reform which seeks to raise revenues by 3% of GDP. Concurrently, the first bills to conduct a reform of the education system have been submitted to Congress. In addition to the latter, to support growth and tackle the sluggish productivity of recent years<sup>1</sup> (Magendzo and Villena, 2012), agendas were also launched to improve competitiveness, reduce energy costs and strengthen workers' bargaining ability, and which will become future bills.

### **Tax reform: A commitment to redistribution and higher revenues, but uncertainties remain over the impact on savings and investment**

The goal of the tax reform is to permanently increase revenues by an amount equivalent to 3% of GDP, to finance higher public savings (converging toward a structural balance of 0% of GDP in 2018), education reform and higher healthcare spending. Furthermore, policymakers are considering making the tax system more progressive, to help reduce inequality. Chile has the OECD's highest level of income inequality<sup>2</sup>, and recent studies have revealed that the tax system would appear to be regressive<sup>3</sup>.

Chile's current tax system is integrated, that is, taxes paid by companies serve as a credit toward the taxes paid by their owners or shareholders. Income from capital and labour is subject to the personal tax called "Global complementario", which has a rising marginal

rate from 0 to 40%. Non-distributed after-tax profits are recorded in the Taxable Profits Fund (Fondo de Utilidades Tributarias / FUT), and may be reinvested in the company. There is no limit on the number of years profits may remain in the FUT before being distributed (when they are subject to individual income tax), nor by a minimum amount that must be withdrawn each year. According to Ministry of Treasury estimates, there are USD250bn in company FUTs.

In essence, the original bill submitted to Congress included a rise in the corporate tax rate from 20% to 25%, and the payment of taxes on an accrual basis (attributable income) instead of on a cash basis (received income). This would lead to the elimination of the FUT, which was introduced as an incentive to reinvest company profits in the mid-1980s. The top marginal individual income tax rate would also be reduced from 40% to 35%. That said, this would end up taking the tax rate for the owners of companies with the highest incomes to 35%, after having enjoyed effective tax rates of close to 20% (Table 1, second column). The main concerns included the negative impact this might have on savings, investment and, consequently, on long-term growth. On another note, there were concerns over the effects on the real estate and construction sectors, and over the reduced support for SMEs. As a compensatory way of supporting investment, the bill included an instant depreciation benefit, but only for physical investments. Also questioned was the impact the reform would have on the wine and beer industry and on the financial markets, as time deposits were markedly favoured over other instruments.

3: Magendzo, Igal and Marcelo Villena (2012). "Evolution of Total Factor Productivity in Chile," Technical Report, Adolfo Ibáñez University, March.

4: OECD (2014), *Society at a Glance 2014: OECD Social Indicators*, OECD Publishing.

5: See Fairfield, Tasha and Michel Jorratt (2014). "Top Income Shares, Business Profits, and Effective Tax Rates in Contemporary Chile." ICTD Working Paper 17. See also Agostini, Claudio (2012). "An Efficient and Equitable Reform of Income Tax in Chile," in the book "Criteria for Tax Reform," CEP-Cieplan.

All in all, since the end of 2013, the Chilean economy has seen a marked slowdown, a considerable drop in investment and a significant fall in business expectations. While we acknowledge that external factors are central to explaining this dynamic, the role played by the uncertainty generated by the debate on the tax reform was also clearly important.

Within this context, on 8 July, the government and the opposition signed a Protocol of Agreement (summarised in column three of Table 1), which seeks to mitigate the negative impacts of the reform on savings and SMEs, without changing the revenue target of 3% of GDP nor the above-mentioned destination of the resources. The main changes include: (1) the attributable income system for corporate taxes with a rate of 25% becomes optional, and a partially-integrated system with a business tax rate of 27% is added, with the option to use 65% of the tax paid by the corporation as a credit toward individual income; (2) a series of new measures which favour SMEs and the reinvestment of profits; (3) the measures that affect the real estate market are reduced, but not eliminated.

The changes agreed to in the Protocol are on the right track, but questionable elements still remain. The effects on the real estate market and on savings are mitigated, and greater benefits provided to SMEs, but the adverse impact on investment would be maintained. For example, under the new semi-integrated regime, the final tax rate would come in above 40%, which is high in international terms. Furthermore, there is some uncertainty over the ability to collect 3% of GDP, which could imply a sacrifice in public savings, in spending associated with education reform and/or a new tax adjustment in the future. Additionally, there are the concerns over the rises in the tax on alcoholic beverages and on imported diesel vehicles, which could violate the country's double taxation agreements.

### **Education reform: Doubts over whether the focus on raising quality is sufficient**

There are currently three bills before Congress which constitute the first part of the Education reform, notably the bill that introduces changes to school education.

There are three major types of schools in Chile: municipal (public and local government), subsidised private (run by for-profit or non-profit corporations, which receive a government subsidy but also often collect a co-payment from the parents), and paid private. Since a few years ago, subsidised private schools have attracted the highest number of students, accounting for close to 50% of enrolments. Eighty percent of these schools have admittance requirements for students, such as selection tests and parent interviews.

The bill mainly targets subsidised private schools, and seeks to modify their operations in three aspects: (1) elimination of required monthly co-payment from parents, with the goal that the operations of all these schools be 100% subsidised by the state within 10 years, and that they be free for families; (2) elimination of the for-profit category, requiring all corporations to become non-profit entities within a maximum period of two years, and with a maximum 12-year period for the state to become owner of the properties; and (3) elimination of the selection criteria, whether tests, parent interviews or other, introducing a random assignment of places in the event of excess demand.

The education reform has the potential to increase human capital in the long term and, consequently, Chile's productivity and investment appeal. That said, the main criticism is that the proposals put forward do not necessarily imply a rise in the quality of education, but rather focus mainly on the ownership of the means of education. However, this bill has not yet been debated in Congress, and thus may be amended, as was the tax reform. Furthermore, in accordance with the commitments of the government's programme, there are still issues outstanding, such as the strengthening of

public education and the changes to higher education.

**Energy and competitiveness agendas point in the right direction, but remain to be specified, together with the labour reform**

Some of the challenges in Chile's energy sector are the high costs of generation, the scarce competition, the low diversification of energy sources, the high reliance on international markets and the problems with connectivity. The Energy Agenda deals with these problems with a proposal based on seven pillars, which features measures such as the strengthening of the state oil company (ENAP), a higher share of LNG in the power grid, decentralised development of non-conventional renewable energies, a greater role of the state in matters of energy efficiency education, development of a regulatory framework for connectivity, increasing energy infrastructure and the creation of agencies for civic participation.

With respect to the Competitiveness Agenda, a number of sectors have found it valuable. In particular, it covers aspects such as increasing joint public-private action, intensifying support for SMEs through state funds, encouraging the generation of clusters, proposing the creation of a large-scale port together with an improvement in the operational logistics of the country's current ports, and establishes a commitment by the state to protect free competition, among other factors.

The main concern over both agendas is that, for the time being, the measures have only been announced, and the details of the bills that will implement them are yet to be known. In more specific terms, the Energy Agenda has been criticised for failing to make a statement on nuclear energy, for the greater role it would give to LNG, a

fossil fuel that is not cheap and maintains foreign reliance, and regarding ENAP's administrative and financial capability to adopt its proposed new role. The Competitiveness Agenda has been criticised for the potential discrimination that a clusters policy might imply, and for the more political than technical position of the Committee of Ministers, who would earmark the state funds for the proposed initiatives.

The government has also considered putting through a labour reform, which centres around strengthening trade union activity and collective bargaining, measures that seek to increase worker protection but at the cost of making the labour market even more inflexible, and with potential negative effects on long-term unemployment.

**Conclusion: Reforms with the potential to drive the long term, but yet to be fully defined**

There may be questions over the short-term effects of the tax reform, particularly because its debate had a negative impact on expectations in the midst of an economic slowdown scenario. However, these negative effects are mitigated by the positive impact education reform could have, were it well-designed and implemented. This is why it is so important to follow the debate closely, and inform readers as to whether or not the end result will result in higher-quality education.

For their part, the energy and competitiveness agendas arose to deal with problems that have reduced the country's growth over the last years, such as higher energy costs and some difficulties smaller companies have when it comes to raising investment in their businesses. An appropriate approach to these problems would imply a vital boost to long-term growth.

Table B.2.1

**Main aspects of tax reform in Chile**

Measures	Current tax situation	Bill in the Chamber of Deputies (March 2014)	Agreement Protocol (July 2014)
<b>Tax regime</b>	Integrated (received income)	Integrated (attributed income)	Two options: I. integrated (attributed income) II. semi-integrated, 65% of the corporate tax is used as a credit toward individual tax (received income).
<b>Company tax rate</b>	20%	25%	I. 25% II. 27%
<b>Taxable income for individual tax</b>	Received income Non-distributed income goes to FUT	Attributable income Elimination of FUT Cumulative historical FUT is maintained	I. Attributed income II. Received income FUT is eliminated in both cases, but cumulative historical FUT is maintained
<b>Top marginal individual income tax rate</b>	40%	35%	35%
<b>SME aid</b>	Diverse sections of the income law which enabled companies to not pay taxes	Mainly a regime for SMEs, which allowed them to use simplified accounting, together with instant depreciation of physical assets	Original project is amended to include the options to not pay tax on a percentage of reinvested profits, not pay corporate tax below a certain level of sales, and defer VAT payments for up to two months.
<b>Sin taxes</b>	Rate of 15 or 27% for alcoholic beverages Rate of 13% for non-alcoholic beverages Tax on cigarettes by amount and ad valorem	Rate of 18%, +0.5% per degree of alcohol in alcoholic beverages Rate increase to 18% for high-sugar non-alcoholic beverages Increased cigarette tax rate at specific component	Fixed rate of 22.5% on wine and beer, and 18% +0.5% per degree for all other alcoholic beverages 18% for high-sugar non-alcoholic beverages and 10% for the rest Higher cigarette tax at specific component and reduction at ad valorem component
<b>Real estate sector</b>	Credit of 65% on Construction VAT for homes valued at less than UF <sup>4</sup> 4,500(approx. USD200,000), with a limit of UF225(approx. USD10,000) Exemption of VAT intermediate stages prior to final consumer sale Exemption of tax payment on capital gains	Credit of 65% on Construction VAT limited to homes valued at less than UF2,000(approx. USD87,500), with a limit of UF100(approx. USD4,400) VAT on frequent home sales (more than one per year) Capital gains tax on homes sales by individuals owning more than one property.	Credit of 65% on Construction VAT limited to homes valued at less than UF2,000(approx. USD87,500), with a limit of UF225(approx. USD10,000) VAT on frequent home sales (more than one per year) except for foreclosures Capital gains tax on incomes of more than UF8,000(approx. USD350,000), regardless of how many homes owner possesses.
<b>Tax on financial operations</b>	Top annual rate of 0.4%	Top annual rate of 0.8%	Top annual rate of 0.8%, keeps current rate for mortgages
<b>Capital gains tax</b>	Received income Exemption for stocks with "stock exchange presence" and other instruments Exemption of tax on interest income from time deposits	Attributed income Exemption for stocks with "stock exchange presence" Broadening of tax exemption on interest income from time deposits	Received income Exemption for stocks with "stock exchange presence" Broadening of tax exemption on interest income from time deposits and similar instruments

Source: BBVA Research

4: UF accounts for "Unidad de Fomento", an inflation index currency.

**DISCLAIMER**

This document and the information, opinions, estimates and recommendations expressed herein, have been prepared by Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria, S.A. (hereinafter called "BBVA") to provide its customers with general information regarding the date of issue of the report and are subject to changes without prior notice. BBVA is not liable for giving notice of such changes or for updating the contents hereof.

This document and its contents do not constitute an offer, invitation or solicitation to purchase or subscribe to any securities or other instruments, or to undertake or divest investments. Neither shall this document nor its contents form the basis of any contract, commitment or decision of any kind.

**Investors who have access to this document should be aware that the securities, instruments or investments to which it refers may not be appropriate for them due to their specific investment goals, financial positions or risk profiles, as these have not been taken into account to prepare this report.** Therefore, investors should make their own investment decisions considering the said circumstances and obtaining such specialized advice as may be necessary. The contents of this document are based upon information available to the public that has been obtained from sources considered to be reliable. However, such information has not been independently verified by BBVA and therefore no warranty, either express or implicit, is given regarding its accuracy, integrity or correctness. BBVA accepts no liability of any type for any direct or indirect losses arising from the use of the document or its contents. Investors should note that the past performance of securities or instruments or the historical results of investments do not guarantee future performance.

**The market prices of securities or instruments or the results of investments could fluctuate against the interests of investors. Investors should be aware that they could even face a loss of their investment. Transactions in futures, options and securities or high-yield securities can involve high risks and are not appropriate for every investor. Indeed, in the case of some investments, the potential losses may exceed the amount of initial investment and, in such circumstances; investors may be required to pay more money to support those losses. Thus, before undertaking any transaction with these instruments, investors should be aware of their operation, as well as the rights, liabilities and risks implied by the same and the underlying stocks. Investors should also be aware that secondary markets for the said instruments may be limited or even not exist.**

BBVA or any of its affiliates, as well as their respective executives and employees, may have a position in any of the securities or instruments referred to, directly or indirectly, in this document, or in any other related thereto; they may trade for their own account or for third-party account in those securities, provide consulting or other services to the issuer of the aforementioned securities or instruments or to companies related thereto or to their shareholders, executives or employees, or may have interests or perform transactions in those securities or instruments or related investments before or after the publication of this report, to the extent permitted by the applicable law.

BBVA or any of its affiliates' salespeople, traders, and other professionals may provide oral or written market commentary or trading strategies to its clients that reflect opinions that are contrary to the opinions expressed herein. Furthermore, BBVA or any of its affiliates' proprietary trading and investing businesses may make investment decisions that are inconsistent with the recommendations expressed herein. No part of this document may be (i) copied, photocopied or duplicated by any other form or means (ii) redistributed or (iii) quoted, without the prior written consent of BBVA. No part of this report may be copied, conveyed, distributed or furnished to any person or entity in any country (or persons or entities in the same) in which its distribution is prohibited by law. Failure to comply with these restrictions may breach the laws of the relevant jurisdiction.

In the United Kingdom, this document is directed only at persons who (i) have professional experience in matters relating to investments falling within article 19(5) of the financial services and markets act 2000 (financial promotion) order 2005 (as amended, the "financial promotion order"), (ii) are persons falling within article 49(2) (a) to (d) ("high net worth companies, unincorporated associations, etc.") Of the financial promotion order, or (iii) are persons to whom an invitation or inducement to engage in investment activity (within the meaning of section 21 of the financial services and markets act 2000) may otherwise lawfully be communicated (all such persons together being referred to as "relevant persons"). This document is directed only at relevant persons and must not be acted on or relied on by persons who are not relevant persons. Any investment or investment activity to which this document relates is available only to relevant persons and will be engaged in only with relevant persons. The remuneration system concerning the analyst/s author/s of this report is

based on multiple criteria, including the revenues obtained by BBVA and, indirectly, the results of BBVA Group in the fiscal year, which, in turn, include the results generated by the investment banking business; nevertheless, they do not receive any remuneration based on revenues from any specific transaction in investment banking.

BBVA is not a member of the FINRA and is not subject to the rules of disclosure affecting such members.

**“BBVA is subject to the BBVA Group Code of Conduct for Security Market Operations which, among other regulations, includes rules to prevent and avoid conflicts of interests with the ratings given, including information barriers. The BBVA Group Code of Conduct for Security Market Operations is available for reference at the following web site: [www.bbva.com](http://www.bbva.com) / Corporate Governance”.**

**BBVA is a bank supervised by the Bank of Spain and by Spain’s Stock Exchange Commission (CNMV), registered with the Bank of Spain with number 0182.**

This report has been produced by the Latam Coordination

**Chief Economist**

Juan Ruiz  
juan.ruiz@bbva.com

Enestor Dos Santos  
enestor.dossantos@bbva.com

Cecilia Posadas  
c.posadas@bbva.com

Pablo Urbiola  
pablo.urbiola@bbva.com

Argentina  
Gloria Sorensen  
gsorensen@bbva.com

Chile  
Jorge Selaive  
jselaive@bbva.com

Colombia  
Juana Téllez  
juana.tellez@bbva.com

Mexico  
Carlos Serrano  
carlos.serranoh@bbva.com

Peru  
Hugo Perea  
hperea@bbva.com

Venezuela  
Oswaldo López  
oswaldo.lopez@bbva.com

*With the contribution of:*

Escenarios Económicos  
Julián Cubero  
juan.cubero@bbva.com

**BBVA Research**

**Group Chief Economist**

Jorge Sicilia Serrano

**Developed Economies Area**

Rafael Doménech Vilariño  
r.domenech@bbva.com

**Emerging Markets Area**

Alicia García-Herrero  
alicia.garcia-herrero@bbva.com

**Financial Systems and Regulation Area**

Santiago Fernández de Lis  
sfernandezdelis@bbva.com

**Global Areas**

*Spain*  
Miguel Cardoso Lecourtois  
miguel.cardoso@bbva.com

*Cross-Country Emerging Markets Analysis*  
Álvaro Ortiz Vidal-Abarca  
alvaro.ortiz@bbva.com

*Financial Systems*  
Ana Rubio  
arubiog@bbva.com

*Economic Scenarios*  
Julián Cubero Calvo  
juan.cubero@bbva.com

*Europe*  
Miguel Jiménez González-Anleo  
mjimenezg@bbva.com

*Asia*  
Le Xia  
le.xia@bbva.com

*Financial Inclusion*  
David Tuesta  
david.tuesta@bbva.com

*Financial Scenarios*  
Sonsoles Castillo Delgado  
s.castillo@bbva.com

*US*  
Nathaniel Karp  
Nathaniel.Karp@bbva.com

*Mexico*  
Carlos Serrano Herrera  
carlos.serranoh@bbva.com

*Regulation and Public Policy*  
María Abascal  
maria.abascal@bbva.com

*Innovation & Processes*  
Oscar de las Peñas Sánchez-Caro  
oscar.delaspenas@bbva.com

*LATAM Coordination*  
Juan Manuel Ruiz Pérez  
juan.ruiz@bbva.com

*Recovery and Resolution Strategy*  
José Carlos Pardo  
josecarlos.pardo@bbva.com

*Argentina*  
Gloria Sorensen  
gsorensen@bbva.com

*Global Coordination*  
Matías Viola  
matias.viola@bbva.com

*Chile*  
Jorge Selaive Carrasco  
jselaive@bbva.com

*Colombia*  
Juana Téllez Corredor  
juana.tellez@bbva.com

*Peru*  
Hugo Perea Flores  
hperea@bbva.com

*Venezuela*  
Oswaldo López Meza  
oswaldo.lopez@bbva.com

**Contact details:**

**BBVA Research**

Paseo Castellana, 81 – 7th floor  
28046 Madrid (Spain)  
Tel.: +34 91 374 60 00 and +34 91 537 70 00  
Fax: +34 91 374 30 25  
bbvaresearch@bbva.com  
[www.bbvaresearch.com](http://www.bbvaresearch.com)