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Abstract

We characterize regional business cycles for Spain using monthly Social Security affiliations. Based on a set of Markov-switching models, we find substantial synchronization of regional business cycles, which has increased since the Great Recession. We do however evidence a regional leading and lagging performance that repeats itself across the different recessions. Typically, earlier signals of national recessions appear in the Islands and Valencia, and are propagated from the periphery to the centre. Moreover, north-western regions tend to start the regional recoveries with a significant lag.
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1. Introduction

A country business cycle could be characterized as a series of aggregate recession and expansion phases which, nevertheless, might not match all of the country's regional cycles perfectly. Although regional economic fluctuations may propagate across the nation, differences could emerge, not only in terms of average growth rates of contractions and expansions, but also their dating and persistence. Disentangling regional business cycles' stylized facts, as well as their propagation dynamics, could be useful for policy-makers in order to implement the appropriate countercyclical measures at the right time in those regions where they may be most necessary and effective. In this context, a renewed interest in understanding business cycles at a disaggregated level has emerged since the 2008 global financial crisis broke out. While not claiming to be exhaustive, some relevant examples are Ozyurt and Dees (2015), Bandrés et al. (2017), and Leiva-Leon et al. (2017).

When studying real business cycles, labour market dynamics have been of central importance (see, among others, Kydland and Prescott, 1982; Blanchard and Quah, 1989; and Gali, 1999). This is particularly relevant in Spain, a country with a high structural unemployment rate and a significant pro-cyclical employment performance (see Andres and Domenech, 2015; Dixon et al., 2016). Based on the assumption that employment is a good proxy for economic activity in Spain, in this paper we characterize the regional business cycle dynamics of the 17 Spanish autonomous communities (which matches NUTS-2 in the EUROSTAT nomenclature) while studying their synchronization and specificities. Our work is thus closely related to that of Cancelo (2004) and Gadea et al. (2012), who used Bry-Boschan dating algorithms on regional data to examine Spanish regional business cycles. The first of these used by the authors were based on regional quarterly employment data from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) for the 1777-2003 period and the second on the monthly industrial production data from 1991.10 to 2010.12.

We make our contribution to the above mentioned literature in several ways. First, as the measure of the regional economic activity, we rely on Social Security affiliation, which is a monthly employment indicator, rather than on the quarterly employment data from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) used by Cancelo (2004). By using the closer timing of the monthly series, we hope to find earlier signals of turning points than those obtained using the quarterly data. Second, we follow Owyang, Piger, and Wall (2005) to draw inferences from the regional business cycles, based on Hamilton (1989), and propose that growth rates of the regional affiliation are characterized by a recurrent sequence of shifts between two fixed equilibria of high and low growth means, where regime shifts are governed by an unobserved first-order Markov chain. This shows a complementary approach to the non-parametric Bry-Boschan data algorithm employed by Gadea et al. (2012) to regional industrial production indices. Last but not least, our sample includes data from 1983.01 to 2017.05, which allows us to examine the regional business cycles in Spain for the Great Recession period.

Four main results can be highlighted from the empirical analysis set out in this paper. First, we find significant evidence of a two-regime business cycle for each of the Spanish regions. Second, we document the existence of three national recession periods, viz 1983-1985, 1991-1994, and 2008-2014. Overall, most of the regional business cycle experiences are similar to those of the nation as a whole. Third, although synchronization of the regional business cycles has increased, we find substantial heterogeneity across regional recessions. In several recessions, different parts of the country seem to have manifested the first signs of the downturns and subsequent recoveries.
Using this model, we detect several thought-provoking recurrent business cycle patterns on a regional level in Spain. First, the Canary Islands, Valencia and, to a lesser extent, the Balearic Islands typically lead the national peaks. Then, these regions seem to be good candidates to serve as a barometer for coming recessions. Second, the propagation of the regional recessions to the national level seems to be inwards, from the periphery to the centre. Third, north-western regions are the last to show signs of regional recoveries after the national troughs.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the data and the framework used to compute regional expansion and recession phases. Sections 3 and 4 describe the key results regarding the regional employment cycle pattern, while Section 5 concludes.

2. Markov-switching models and the business cycle

Two things are required to study co-movement on a regional level over the business cycle: a regional measure of economic activity and a precise definition of regional business cycles. In this paper, economic activity in a given region is measured by the annual growth rates of Social Security affiliation.¹ The data is from the Ministry of Employment and Social Security, which reports the monthly readings for the 17 Spanish Autonomous Communities that fall within NUTS-2 in the EUROSTAT nomenclature.² Country level data is also included in the sample to facilitate comparisons. Our sample period is 1983.01-2017.05, which, according to the Spanish Business Cycle Dating Committee, covers three national recessions.³

To identify business cycle turning points, we apply the Markov regime-switching model used by Hamilton (1989) for each region, which has the advantage with respect to the data-driven algorithm proposed by Bryand and Boschan (1971) of not requiring that recessions be absolute declines in Social Security affiliation. It is thus quite possible that, in a given region, Social Security affiliation can increase during recession, though at lower rates than in upswings.

Accordingly, the definition of business cycles followed in this paper relies on the recognised empirical fact that although series on affiliation levels present trends, they are not monotonic curves, but rather exhibit sequences of upturns and downturns. During periods known as recessions, the values of annual growth rates in affiliation are usually lower (and sometimes negative) than during periods of expansion.

Following Hamilton (1989), we assume that the switching mechanism of the k-th region in the annual growth rates of affiliates in month t, \( y_{kt} \), is controlled by an unobservable state variable, \( s_{kt} \). Owyang et al. (2005) specify a simple switching model that captures this non-linear dynamic:

\[
y_{kt} = \mu_{s_{kt}} + \epsilon_{kt}, \quad (1)
\]

¹: Although regional GDP would be the most convenient measure of regional economic activity, this is not available, neither at a high frequency nor for a large sample on a regional level, making it impracticable to examine the regional business cycles.
²: These regions are Andalusia, Aragon, Asturias, Balearic Islands, the Canary Islands, Cantabria, Castilla-Leon, Castilla-La Mancha, Catalonia, the Valencian Community, Extremadura, Galicia, the Community of Madrid, Murcia, Navarre, the Basque Country and La Rioja.
³: The three Spanish national recessions identified by the Committee during this period are 1992.1-1993.3, 2008.2-2009.4 and 2010.4-2013.2.
where the nonlinear behaviour of the time series is governed by $\mu_{ik}$, which is allowed to change within each of the two distinct regimes $s_{ik} = 0$ and $s_{ik} = 1$. Assuming $\mu_{k0} > \mu_{k1}$ identifies the regime $s_{ik} = 0$ as the high-growth regime and the regime $s_{ik} = 1$ as the low-growth regime. Deviations from this switching mean growth rates are generated by $\varepsilon_{ik}$, which is an i.i.d. Gaussian stochastic disturbance with a mean of zero and variance $\sigma^{2}_{\varepsilon}$.

Although an actual regional business cycle regime is not observable, the transitions between states can be modelled as a hidden first-order Markov process. This implies that the transition probabilities are independent of the information set at $t-1$, $\chi_{t-1}$, and of the regimes prior to $t-1$. Accordingly, the probability of remaining in one particular state is

$$p(s_{ik} = i | s_{i,t-1} = j, s_{i,t-2} = h, ..., \chi_{t-1}) = p(s_{ik} = i | s_{i,t-1} = j) = p_{ij},$$ (2)

Where

$$\chi_{ik} = y_{ik}, ..., y_{ik}.$$

Note here that the models are estimated separately, which implies an ex ante agnostic approach regarding the dependency of business cycle phases across regions.  

3. Regional business cycles

3.1. Regional characteristics

A few summary statistics describing the estimated growth rates within each of the two phases appear in Table 1. Specifically, the table reports the maximum likelihood estimates of the within-regime means along with their 95% coverage intervals. Although there is a variety of regional business cycle characteristics, the figures show that the estimated mean is positive when $s_{ik} = 0$, while it is negative when $s_{ik} = 1$, for all the regions. Therefore, the first regime can be associated with periods of expansion or periods of positive growth in affiliation levels, while the second regime refers to recessions or periods in which affiliation drops off. Table 1 also shows that the difference between the within-regime means is large and statistically significant, indicating that the regimes are well-separated.

According to the figures reported in Table 1, we find that there are large cross-regional differences. Low-phase growth rates range from -1.14% to -3.46%, while high-phase rates span from 1.65% to 4.8%. The destruction of employment in recession is particularly high in Castilla-La Mancha and Asturias, where employment contracts by 3.46% and 2.98%, respectively. The latter, with an estimated growth rate of 1.65%, presents the lowest job-creation capacity in expansionary phases. Employment in Asturias is therefore the most affected by business cycle fluctuations. By way of contrast, Balearic Islands employment tended to have had the highest growth rates across both phases. This region, together with the Canary Islands, which also shows high employment growth rates during expansionary phases, shows tourism to be a key sector in boosting employment in upturns.

4: The Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis uses this model (Chauvet and Hamilton, 2006) to compute the US GDP-based recession indicator. Camacho and Perez-Quiros (2007) show that this model captures the U.S. business cycle dynamics with a great degree of precision.

5: Inter-regional conditional transition probabilities are left for further research.
Furthermore, Mediterranean regions tend to amplify the business cycle in terms of employment. Employment contractions during recessions are severe in Andalusia, Murcia, Valencia and Catalonia. These regions, together with the Balearic Islands, are among those with the largest growth in affiliation levels during expansionary phases. In contrast, Madrid, Navarre and Cantabria show within-regime growth rates in affiliation that closely match those nationwide.

The corresponding estimates of business cycle persistence, $p_{ii}$, are given in Table 2. The table shows that each regime is highly persistent, with estimated probabilities of a regime being followed by the same regime above 0.9. Using the transition probabilities, one can also derive the expected number of months that a business cycle phase prevails as $\left(1 - p_{ii}\right)^{-1}$. Conditional on being in state 0, the expected duration of a typical national expansionary phase is a shade under 134 months. Likewise, conditional on being in the recession regime, the expected duration of a national recession is about 48 months.

However, the table shows that there are significant differences across regions. The longest expected expansion phases arise in Andalusia, Castilla-La Mancha and Navarre, while the Balearic Islands and Extremadura present the shortest. The expected duration of a recession is high in Andalusia, La Rioja and Galicia, while recession is expected to be short-lived in Extremadura, Castilla-La Mancha and Valencia. Even though for all the regions the expected duration is longer in expansionary phases than in recessions, the difference is particularly pronounced Castilla-La Mancha, Andalusia and Navarre. Conversely, the Balearic Islands and Extremadura exhibit the most similar duration of their regimes.

### 3.2. Course of regional business cycles

Figure A1 helps to chart the progress of the disaggregated business cycle phases by plotting the Social Security affiliation growth rates for each region, together with their full-sample smoothed probability of recession. The national recession probability is also plotted by way of a reference. The figure illustrates that it is easy to infer whether each region is in its recession or expansionary phase at any point in time because, with a few exceptions, the probability tends to shift sharply between values close to zero and one.


A more general picture of how regional business cycles relate to each other and with the national economy is provided by Figure 1. The figure plots shaded areas that indicate when a region is estimated to be in recession over the sample period. Light colours indicate weaker evidence of recession, while the darker the shade, the stronger the statistical confidence that the indicated region was in recession at that time.

---

6: The larger differences are explained by the lengthy expected duration of their expansionary phases.
7: The figure also plots the 95% confidence bands, which are computed after Alvarez, Camacho and Ruiz (2017).
9: Interestingly, the double-dip recession that the Dating Committee placed in 2008-2009 and 2010-2013 was recognised to be just a single recession that started in 2008 and ended in 2014.
From Figures 1 and A1, two broad conclusions emerge. The first one is that, despite the unrestricted approach that we use to compute inferences on the regional business cycles, there appears to be a strong national component to most regional recessions. In spite of this finding, the second conclusion is that there is still a substantial heterogeneity across regional recessions, with differences across states that appear to be a matter of both timing (with some states entering a recession or recovering before others) and of the idiosyncrasy of certain regions that miss out on the 1983-1985 national recession, while others include extra regional recessions that are not shared by the country as a whole. These results are in line with Gadea et al. (2012), who also document that Spanish regional cycles are quite heterogeneous but display a certain degree of synchronization.

In particular, the sample period provides a rich variety of business cycle dynamics. First, while the aggregate economy was facing the 1983-1985 recession, Andalusia, the Canary Islands and Extremadura were undergoing an expansionary phase. Aragon, Asturias and Madrid switched into recession about one year after the national economy did. Moreover, this national recession lasts longer for Cantabria and Galicia, which did not bottom out from their regional downturns until 1987. Finally, the downturn is split into a double-dip recession in the Balearic Islands and Murcia.

Second, Asturias, Castilla-Mancha, Madrid and Navarre entered the national 1991-1994 recession about one year later, while the Balearic Islands were ahead of the national turning point by about one year. The Canary Islands were the first region to leave this recession in 1993. As in the eighties, Cantabria was the last one to switch into expansion, doing so in 1996.

Third, the Balearic Islands experienced a unique idiosyncratic recession in 2002-2004, which is the only discordant note in the 1995-2007 expansion period. The idiosyncratic behaviour of this regional recession might have been due to the weakness of international tourism coming into the new century due to the economic slowdown suffered by most European countries at that time.

Fourth, the degree of regional correlation in business cycles since 2008 is unprecedented in the sample. In the last period, all the regional-level business cycle experiences are similar to those of the nation. In particular, every region was experiencing problems at about the same time in 2008-2014, although the Canary Islands, Valencia and Murcia exhibited a certain degree of leading behaviour.

To measure the degree of synchronization of national and regional cycles, we compute the concordance index espoused by Harding and Pagan (2006). The index measures the fraction of time that each of the regional cycles and the national cycle are in the same phase. If we call $s_t$ and $s_{tk}$ the unobservable state variables for the nation and the region $k$, the index is defined as

$$C_k = \frac{1}{T} \left( \sum_{t=1}^{T} (s_{tk} - s_t) + \sum_{t=1}^{T} (1 - s_{tk}) (1 - s_t) \right), \tag{3}$$

where $T$ is the total number of periods. The indices, whose estimates are reported in Table 6, have a maximum value of unity when the regional cycle is perfectly synchronized with the national cycle, while it attains a minimum value of zero when both cycles are de-synchronized.
These concordance measures should be interpreted relative to an expected value for the concordance between region $k$ and the nation under the null hypothesis that the business cycles of region $k$ and Spain are uncorrelated.\textsuperscript{10} Table 6 also reports these expected concordance measures.

The reported values of the index in Table 6 are large compared to the expected value of the index in the case of uncorrelated cycles. This result suggests that the regions and the nation spend much of the time in the same state of the business cycle. In particular, Catalonia, Castilla-Leon, and La Rioja were in synchronization with the national cycle more than 97% of the time. In contrast, the Balearic Islands, the Canary Islands, Castilla-Mancha and Extremadura were the regions least synchronized with the national economy.

4. The geography of national recessions

So far, we have examined the extent to which the Spanish regions are synchronized with the national business cycle, focusing especially on the periods around the national turning points. In this section, we focus on the geographic perspective in the relationship between state and national recessions. For this purpose, Figures 2 to 4 plot the geographical distribution of region-level recessions for certain representative months around the turning points that determine each of the three periods of national recessions.

For each selected month, the figures plot heat (choropleth) maps of the Spanish Autonomous Communities. Each of these maps makes it easy to visualise how the probabilities of recession vary across regions and it shows the level of cross-sectional variability in going into national recessions and facing the subsequent recoveries. For this purpose, each of the regions in the map is shaded in proportion to the regional probability of recession at that time. A dark shade represents a high probability of recession in the region and a light shade represents a low probability.

4.1. The 1983-1985 national recession

According to the estimated smoothed recession probabilities for Spain, the first recession in the sample occurs between 1983.01 and 1985.10.\textsuperscript{11} In line with Cancelo (2004), we find that this period was characterized by having the highest heterogeneity in the regional cycles. In fact, Figure 2 shows that in this period we failed to find a month where every region was in expansion in that month. Therefore, for all months in this national recession, some states were in recession and others were not.

The first map in Figure 2 plots the geographical business cycle situation at the national peak. The map reveals that most of the northern and Mediterranean regions exhibited a high concordance with the national business cycle in this period and turned into recession. The second map in this figure shows that one year after the national recession began, nearly the entire North was in recession.

The third map in Figure 1 shows that one quarter before the national trough, the North and the Mediterranean are the only regions that remain in regional recession. The last two maps in this figure show that, even after the recession had ended at the national level, at the state level it had receded to the Northwest, where it lasted for several more months.

\textsuperscript{10}: Note that the expected value needs not be 0 if one business cycle phase is more persistent than the other.
\textsuperscript{11}: We consider a period to be a recession period when for at least two consecutive months in the employment recession probabilities are above 0.5.
4.2. The 1991-1994 national recession

The national recession that began in 1991.09 began in three regions about two quarters before that: The Balearic and Canary islands and Valencia, as the first map of Figure 3 shows. The second map in this figure highlights that regional propagation of this recession goes inward from the periphery to the centre, which did not switch into recession until ten months after Spain did.

The third map in this figure reveals that, by the midpoint of the national recession in 1992.10, all states had entered recession. This geographically widespread recession lasted until 1993.09, which implies a perfect synchronization period that covers 30% of the national employment recession period.

As the fourth map of Figure 3 shows, the Balearic and Canary islands, and Valencia are again, together with the centre of the country, the regions that led the national trough. As in the recession of the eighties, the Northwest and Catalonia regions were still in regional recession by the time of the national trough.

4.3. The 2008-2014 national recession

The latest of the national recessions, which began in 2008.05, was even more geographically widespread than the recession of the nineties. According to the first map in Figure 4, the first signs that a national recession was about to start again came from Valencia and the Canary Islands, although Murcia joined this group of leading regions at this time. The second map in this figure reveals that, once more, the propagation of the recession was from the periphery into the centre.

The third map in the figure shows that for 59 out of the 71 months of national recession (80% of the period), all the regions were also in recession at regional level. Finally, the last two maps in the figure show that the recovery started in the South and was propagated to the North. Again, only north-western regions were still in a regional recession by the time the national recession had ended.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we estimate a single-equation Markov-switching model for Spain as a whole and for its 17 regions by using total security system affiliation as the measure of economic activity. The models are estimated separately, which implies an ex ante agnostic approach regarding the dependency of business cycle phases across regions. Although this simplifies analysis of Spanish regional business cycles, it precludes us from examining the facet in the data where knowing whether one region is in recession at a given date could be helpful for predicting whether another state will be on the following date. We have left this interesting additional angle for further research.

We find useful evidence about the Spanish regional economic patterns during recession and expansionary periods. The results suggest that there is a high degree of regional business cycle synchronization, which has notably increased during the sample period. We nonetheless find significant differences across regions in growth rates within business cycle phases, as well as regarding the dates of their turning points. First, the Canary Islands, Valencia, and to a lesser extent the Balearic Islands, seem to be the leading regions when going into recession, while the central
regions of the country present lagging. Second, the regional transmission of recession to the national level seems to be from the periphery into the centre. Finally, north-western regions take longer than the nation to pull out of their recessions.

We consider that our results have potentially important implications for national and regional policy-making.
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### Table 1 Maximum Likelihood Estimates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Autonomous Communities</th>
<th>Recession</th>
<th>Coverage Interval</th>
<th>Expansion</th>
<th>Coverage Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>-1.784</td>
<td>(-2.067, -1.502)</td>
<td>3.429</td>
<td>(3.233, 3.624)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andalusia</td>
<td>-2.724</td>
<td>(-3.062, -2.386)</td>
<td>3.626</td>
<td>(3.440, 3.812)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aragon</td>
<td>-2.130</td>
<td>(-2.413, -1.847)</td>
<td>2.888</td>
<td>(2.710, 3.067)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asturias</td>
<td>-2.987</td>
<td>(-3.292, -2.681)</td>
<td>1.652</td>
<td>(1.479, 1.825)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balearic Islands</td>
<td>-1.148</td>
<td>(-1.487, -0.808)</td>
<td>4.864</td>
<td>(4.585, 5.143)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canary Islands</td>
<td>-2.667</td>
<td>(-3.236, -2.099)</td>
<td>4.425</td>
<td>(4.157, 4.693)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cantabria</td>
<td>-1.611</td>
<td>(-1.870, -1.351)</td>
<td>3.178</td>
<td>(2.941, 3.414)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Mancha</td>
<td>-3.465</td>
<td>(-3.939, -2.991)</td>
<td>3.157</td>
<td>(2.917, 3.396)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Leon</td>
<td>-1.811</td>
<td>(-2.027, -1.596)</td>
<td>2.197</td>
<td>(2.043, 2.351)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalonia</td>
<td>-2.248</td>
<td>(-2.556, -1.940)</td>
<td>3.482</td>
<td>(3.274, 3.689)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valencia</td>
<td>-2.944</td>
<td>(-3.301, -2.586)</td>
<td>3.797</td>
<td>(3.560, 4.034)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extremadura</td>
<td>-1.510</td>
<td>(-1.831, -1.189)</td>
<td>2.382</td>
<td>(2.195, 2.570)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galicia</td>
<td>-1.654</td>
<td>(-1.893, -1.415)</td>
<td>2.397</td>
<td>(2.222, 2.571)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madrid</td>
<td>-1.982</td>
<td>(-2.358, -1.606)</td>
<td>3.430</td>
<td>(3.213, 3.647)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murcia</td>
<td>-2.144</td>
<td>(-2.566, -1.723)</td>
<td>4.760</td>
<td>(4.492, 5.028)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navarre</td>
<td>-1.723</td>
<td>(-1.994, -1.452)</td>
<td>3.239</td>
<td>(3.067, 3.410)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basque C.</td>
<td>-1.402</td>
<td>(-1.628, -1.176)</td>
<td>2.419</td>
<td>(2.259, 2.579)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rioja</td>
<td>-2.540</td>
<td>(-2.861, -2.219)</td>
<td>3.203</td>
<td>(2.999, 3.406)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. The table shows the maximum likelihood estimates; 95% coverage intervals are shown in brackets.

### Table 2 Business cycle persistence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Autonomous Communities</th>
<th>Expansion</th>
<th>Expected Duration</th>
<th>Recession</th>
<th>Expected Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>133.702</td>
<td>0.979</td>
<td>47.601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andalusia</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>153.974</td>
<td>0.981</td>
<td>51.483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aragon</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>94.119</td>
<td>0.977</td>
<td>42.878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asturias</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>93.673</td>
<td>0.974</td>
<td>38.691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balearic Islands</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>59.429</td>
<td>0.971</td>
<td>34.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canary Islands</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>111.821</td>
<td>0.973</td>
<td>36.461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cantabria</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>60.353</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>48.687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C – Mancha</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>148.454</td>
<td>0.969</td>
<td>32.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C – Leon</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>132.162</td>
<td>0.979</td>
<td>48.329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalonia</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>135.175</td>
<td>0.979</td>
<td>47.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valencia</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>92.425</td>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>33.407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extremadura</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>71.111</td>
<td>0.966</td>
<td>29.807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galicia</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>127.668</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>50.732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madrid</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>96.792</td>
<td>0.974</td>
<td>39.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murcia</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>92.695</td>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>33.223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navarre</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>137.820</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>41.731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basque C.</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>131.928</td>
<td>0.979</td>
<td>47.619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rioja</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>119.179</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>50.569</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3 Concordance between regional and national business cycles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Autonomous Communities</th>
<th>Concordance</th>
<th>Null Expected Concordance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andalusia</td>
<td>0.896</td>
<td>0.577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aragon</td>
<td>0.950</td>
<td>0.558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asturias</td>
<td>0.916</td>
<td>0.564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balearic Islands</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>0.526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canary Islands</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>0.578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cantabria</td>
<td>0.867</td>
<td>0.513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C – Mancha</td>
<td>0.893</td>
<td>0.580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C – Leon</td>
<td>0.968</td>
<td>0.545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalonia</td>
<td>0.977</td>
<td>0.549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valencia</td>
<td>0.942</td>
<td>0.554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extremadura</td>
<td>0.891</td>
<td>0.563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galicia</td>
<td>0.941</td>
<td>0.540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madrid</td>
<td>0.923</td>
<td>0.565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murcia</td>
<td>0.951</td>
<td>0.555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navarre</td>
<td>0.939</td>
<td>0.560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basque C.</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>0.547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rioja</td>
<td>0.975</td>
<td>0.562</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. The table shows the concordance index espoused by Harding and Pagan (2006).

Figure 1 Regional versus national business cycle phases

Notes. The figure indicates with shaded areas when a region was in recession in any month: the darker the shade, the stronger the probability that the region was in recession at that time.
Figure 2 National recession 1983.01-1985.10
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First month of data of national recession

1984.04
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A quarter before the national trough

1985.10

National trough

1986.03

Two quarters after the national trough

12: Data on La Rioja was not available during this recession period.
Figure 3 National recession 1991.09-1994.09

1991.05
A quarter before the national peak
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A quarter before the national trough
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Figure 4 National recession 2008.05-2014.03
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Appendix

Figure 1 A Probability of recession

Spain

Andalusia

Aragon
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Notes: The blue line shows Social Security affiliation levels (right axis) for Spain and Spanish autonomous communities. In dashed red line it is shown the probability of recession with its 95% confidence intervals (left axis). The grey shadow areas correspond to aggregate employment recession in Spain.
Figure 1 A Probability of recession (continued)

Notes: The blue line shows Social Security affiliation levels (right axis) for Spain and Spanish autonomous communities. In dashed red line it is shown the probability of recession with its 95% confidence intervals (left axis). The grey shadow areas correspond to aggregate employment recession in Spain.
Figure 1 A Probability of recession (continued)

Notes: The blue line shows Social Security affiliation levels (right axis) for Spain and Spanish autonomous communities. In dashed red line it is shown the probability of recession with its 95% confidence intervals (left axis). The grey shadow areas correspond to aggregate employment recession in Spain.
Working Papers

2017

17/20 Maximo Camacho, Matias Pacce and Camilo Ulloa: Business cycle phases in Spain.

17/19 Ángel de la Fuente: La liquidación de 2015 del sistema de financiación de las comunidades autónomas de régimen común.

17/18 Victor Adame and David Tuesta: The labyrinth of the informal economy: measurement strategies and impacts.

17/17 Victor Adame and David Tuesta: El laberinto de la economía informal: estrategias de medición e impactos.

17/16 Liliana Rojas-Suárez y Lucía Pacheco: Índice de prácticas regulatorias para la inclusión financiera en Latinoamérica: Facilitadores, Promotores y Obstaculizadores.


17/13 Carlos Casanova, Joaquín Iglesias, Álvaro Ortiz, Tomasa Rodrigo and Le Xia: Tracking Chinese Vulnerability in Real Time Using Big Data.

17/12 José E. Boscá, Rafael Doménech, Javier Ferri y José R. García: Los Desplazamientos de la Curva de Beveridge en España y sus Efectos Macroeconómicos.

17/11 Rafael Doménech y José Manuel González-Páramo: Budgetary stability and structural reforms in Spain: lessons from the recession and options for the future.


17/09 José Félix Izquierdo: Modelos para los flujos de nuevo crédito en España.

17/08 José María Álvarez, Cristina Deblas, José Félix Izquierdo, Ana Rubio and Jaime Zurita: The impact of European banking consolidation on credit prices.

17/07 Victor Adame García, Javier Alonso Meseguer, Luisa Pérez Ortiz, David Tuesta: Infrastructure and economic growth from a meta-analysis approach: do all roads lead to Rome?

17/06 Victor Adame García, Javier Alonso Meseguer, Luisa Pérez Ortiz, David Tuesta: Infraestructuras y crecimiento: un ejercicio de meta-análisis.

17/05 Olga Cerqueira Gouveia, Enestor Dos Santos, Santiago Fernández de Lis, Alejandro Neut and Javier Sebastián: Monedas digitales emitidas por los bancos centrales: adopción y repercusiones.

17/04 Olga Cerqueira Gouveia, Enestor Dos Santos, Santiago Fernández de Lis, Alejandro Neut and Javier Sebastián: Central Bank Digital Currencies: assessing implementation possibilities and impacts.

17/03 Juan Antolín Díaz and Juan F. Rubio-Ramírez: Narrative Sign Restrictions for SVARs.

17/02 Luis Fernández Lafuerza and Gonzalo de Cadenas: The Network View: applications to international trade and bank exposures.

17/01 José Félix Izquierdo, Santiago Muñoz, Ana Rubio and Camilo Ulloa: Impact of capital regulation on SMEs credit.
2016

16/21 Javier Sebastián Cermeño: Blockchain in financial services: Regulatory landscape and future challenges for its commercial application.

16/20 Máximo Camacho and Matías Pacce: Forecasting travelers in Spain with Google queries.

16/19 Javier Alonso, Alfonso Arellano, David Tuesta: Factors that impact on pension fund investments in infrastructure under the current global financial regulation.

16/18 Ángel de la Fuente: La financiación regional en Alemania y en España: una perspectiva comparada.


16/16 Ángel de la Fuente: La evolución de la financiación de las comunidades autónomas de régimen común, 2002-2014.

16/15 Ángel de la Fuente: La liquidación de 2014 del sistema de financiación de las comunidades autónomas de régimen común: Adenda.

16/14 Alicia García-Herrero, Eric Girardin and Hermann González: Analyzing the impact of monetary policy on financial markets in Chile.

16/13 Ángel de la Fuente: La liquidación de 2014 del sistema de financiación de las comunidades autónomas de régimen común.

16/12 Kan Chen, Mario Crucini: Trends and Cycles in Small Open Economies: Making The Case For A General Equilibrium Approach.

16/11 José Félix Izquierdo de la Cruz: Determinantes de los tipos de interés de las carteras de crédito en la Eurozona.

16/10 Alfonso Ugarte Ruiz: Long run and short run components in explanatory variables and differences in Panel Data estimators.


16/08 Ángel de la Fuente: Las finanzas autonómicas en 2015 y entre 2003 y 2015.


16/06 Ángel de la Fuente: Series enlazadas de Contabilidad Regional para España, 1980-2014.

16/05 Rafael Doménech, Juan Ramón García, Camilo Ulloa: Los efectos de la flexibilidad salarial sobre el crecimiento y el empleo.

16/04 Ángel de la Fuente, Michael Thöne, Christian Kastrop: Regional Financing in Germany and Spain: Comparative Reform Perspectives.

16/03 Antonio Cortina, Santiago Fernández de Lis: El modelo de negocio de los bancos españoles en América Latina.

16/02 Javier Andrés, Ángel de la Fuente, Rafael Doménech: Notas para una política fiscal en la salida de la crisis.

16/01 Ángel de la Fuente: Series enlazadas de PIB y otros agregados de Contabilidad Nacional para España, 1955-2014.