

Global Economy

# The G20 should stop just taking note sooner rather than later

Expansión (Spain)

Jorge Sicilia

10 December 2018

Last Saturday the G20 summit was held in Argentina. What better opportunity to discuss the trade war than the meeting of representatives of countries that account for more than 75% of global growth, investment and trade.

It is not always easy to interpret communiqués which, in the wake of meetings with plenty of debate, are drafted by officials given to penning strikethroughs and adjectives who need to reconcile agreements and disagreements, opinions and consensuses, and who obsessively stand guard over the omissions. There is never mention of everything that happened, but somehow everything that happened is always reflected there in some way, though not always with the same clarity. When you compare today's communiqués with those of 5 years ago, it is almost painful to see so little agreement on things where it matters most.

Since 2008, when the heads of state and government began to go to the G20 summit, the communiqués have evoked images of the attendees looking each other in the eye as they debated what to do to face the crisis, encouraging each other, and committing themselves to action.

Maybe I'm exaggerating. I don't know, I wasn't there, but there were undoubtedly more words back then like "commitment", and fewer like "we take note". And they also dealt with decidedly difficult issues, from growth stimulus measures to changes in global financial regulation. Those kinds of words are no longer used so much to talk about significant issues.

It is hard to imagine the heads of state of the same countries (except Russia) looking into each other's eyes with the same intensity. It becomes easier to visualise them merely taking note and looking away, waiting for a better chance for action, in the new world of what is possible. Saturday's press statement "takes note of current trade issues". How bad must things be that, in the midst of this threat to trade, the G20 can only say that it "takes note". The word "protectionism" does not appear once in the communiqué. They did use it in 2015, where they spoke of "resisting all forms of protectionism" and in 2016, where they "reject(ed) protectionism". They can no longer find a way to say it without risking ending up with no communiqué, as occurred in the last G7 meeting and in the APEC meeting. Or that there's a slamming door somewhere.

The action was at the U.S.-China meeting, where they agreed to a 90-day truce preventing the U.S. from raising tariffs from 10 to 25% on a total of \$200 billion in Chinese imports next 1 January, something that would have had a negative impact on China, the U.S. and the world. In exchange for this truce, China pledges to buy many more U.S. products and to talk about the things that matter to all countries, such as intellectual property protection in China and its non-tariff barriers, technology transfer and cybersecurity. Yes there was more action, albeit nothing very specific.

But let's go back to the G20 communiqué. In spite of their forgetting about protectionism, they made a good point in calling for reform of the World Trade Organization in the same paragraph where they speak of multilateralism as a commitment to governance on trade issues. There is a consensus that this battle must be fought again in an environment of rising tension. This is the fight in which the multilateral bloc will have to make the greatest effort, hopefully with Europe playing a major role. We need clearer and more effective rules for international trade, endorsed by the large countries, and properly enforced. This task at present seems titanic.

## Creating Opportunities

Press article – 10 December 2018

Hopefully the G20 will soon be able to stop taking notes and start taking decisions. And that Europe will once again feel closer to the US on trade issues than it does today. Both these players need each other to push China to change its trade practices, but the gravitational force that the US exerts on Europe is today lower than in the past because of the unilateral approach to trade that it is showing in this strategic war with China. This is a pity, because between the two of them they can have a strong position in multilateral negotiations which will allow a more peaceful balance to be maintained.

## DISCLAIMER

This document has been prepared by BBVA Research Department. It is provided for information purposes only and expresses data, opinions and estimates at the date of issue of the report, prepared by BBVA or obtained from or based on sources we consider to be reliable, but not independently verified by BBVA. Therefore, BBVA offers no warranty, either express or implicit, regarding their accuracy, completeness or correctness.

Estimates this document may contain have been made in accordance with generally accepted methodologies and should be considered as forecasts or projections. Results obtained in the past, whether positive or negative, are no guarantee of future performance.

This document and its contents are subject to changes without prior notice depending on variables such as the economic context or market fluctuations. BBVA is not responsible for updating these contents or for giving notice of such changes.

BBVA accepts no liability for any loss, direct or indirect, that may result from the use of this document or its contents.

This document and its contents do not constitute an offer, invitation or solicitation to purchase, divest or enter into any interest in financial assets or instruments. Nor shall this document or its contents form the basis of any contract, commitment or decision of any kind.

With regard to investment in financial assets related to economic variables this document may cover, readers should be aware that in no circumstances should they base their investment decisions on the information contained in this document. Persons or entities offering investment products to these potential investors are legally required to provide the information needed for them to take an appropriate investment decision.

The content of this document is protected by intellectual property laws. Its reproduction, transformation, distribution, public communication, provision, extraction, reuse, forwarding or use of any nature by any means or process is forbidden, except in cases where it is legally permitted or expressly authorised by BBVA.

### CONTACT DETAILS:

BBVA Research: Azul Street, 4. La Vela Building – 4th and 5th floor. 28050 Madrid (Spain)  
Tel.: +34 91 374 60 00 and +34 91 537 70 00 / Fax: +34 91 374 30 25  
bbvaresearch@bbva.com www.bbvaresearch.com

