How to regulate shadow banking: Thoughts from the world to China

Alicia Garcia Herrero Chief Economist for Emerging Markets **BBVA Research**



Why is shadow banking important?

- Shadow banking can be huge
 - When understood in a broad sense, as big as 20.000 billion USD in the US right before the subprime crisis...
 - Hedge funds are only a small part of it (2-3.000 billion)
- Now shadow banking in US estimated at 16.000 billion USD
 - Banking system amounts to 13.000 USD

Shadow banking still larger than formal banking sector, at least in the US!



Why is shadow banking important?

- On the positive side
 - Importance of shadow banking related to innovation capacity
- On the negative one
 - Origin of several episodes of financial instability including the subprime crisis (role of SIVs and other off-balance sheet assets)



Why shadow banking is an important topic for China

Huge growth since the global crisis both by banks and others

RMB billion





Why is shadow banking important for China?

At the beginning probably considered like a nice development
 China in need of credit during the global crisis
 Bank credit was growing fast but not covering all needs

 But becoming a problem in a monetary tightening period!
 Shadow banking related credit growing much faster than bank credit one so total credit really exceeding the regulator's targets

Why is shadow banking important for China?

Why shadow banking growing faster?

Not hard to guess!

1

• As happens in most of the world, regulatory arbitrage is a key reason

Capital requirements are not existent or much less stringent

Another specific reason for China is that limits on credit only include official bank credit



China is taking action on its own

Obligation to shift off-balance sheet assets on balance sheet (25% per quarter until completed at end 2011

New capital requirement for trust companies

Although still lower than major banks (10.5% as opposed to 11.5%)

However, more could come from global developments in regulation



- Until now, regulation has been focused on traditional banks:
- Not much attention paid to shadow banking ...
 - FSB recommended to strengthen the oversight and regulation of the "shadow banking system" by mid-2011 but not much action



Global regulation and risks posed by Shadow Banking

Systemic Risk

- Arises from activities that generate maturity and/or liquidity transformation, that involve flawed credit risk transfer
- From the interconnectedness with the regular banking system

Regulatory Arbitrage

Can be used to circumvent banking regulations

Challenges to regulate shadow banking

A - Precise definition of Shadow Banking

- Lack of consensus on a definition
- Proposed FSB definition
 - "The system of credit intermediation that involves entities and activities outside the regular banking system"
 - ⇒ Focus only on credit intermediation excluding pure equity trading and foreign currency transactions although they are part of the credit intermediation chain
- Need for a flexible forward looking perspective to capture mutations
 over time

B - Monitoring the Shadow Banking System

Macro perspective

Micro perspective

Purposes:

- Providing a broad picture of evolution of shadow banking over time
- Alerting authorities to changes in the system that may not immediately be picked up from a micro perspective.
- Identifying funding vulnerabilities

Purposes:

- Identification of specific activities or entities outside of the regulatory scope
- Identification of potential spill-over risks from the shadow banking system to the regular banking sector

 \Rightarrow Focus must be set on <u>types of risks</u> (stemming from the type of <u>instruments</u>) rather than on entities or activities

C - Challenges

- 1. Direct Measures: Regulation aimed at addressing risks stemming from shadow banking entities or shadow banking instruments
- 2. Indirect Measures: Regulation of the banks' interactions with the shadow banking system or aimed at closing opportunities for regulatory arbitrage
 - Spain treatment of bank off-balance sheet activities is a good example:
 - Everything is consolidated (no off-balance sheet items) and this was the case even before the global crisis
- 3. Macroprudential Measures: Aimed at addressing systemic risk in the shadow banking system including procyclicality, etc Dynamic provisioning in Spain is in fact applied to in a consolidated basis (not only to pure bank lending)

In sum

6

- The fast growth of shadow banking should be a rising concern for China
- While there may be some merits in it in terms of product innovation, etc, there are certainly risks
- Regulation is an important tool to manage that risks and China is already taking action
 - However, mostly direct measures.
 - More could come from <u>indirect measures/macroprudential</u> ones
- Experience elsewhere shows that this may be a moving target but that action can be taken
- Global efforts on regulation are still embryonic but <u>China could play an important</u> role in pushing in that direction