Economic Watch

Lima, August 5, 2011 Economic Analysis

BBVA

Peru Daniel Barco dbarco@grupobbva.com.pe

The minimum wage and informality

• The minimum wage in Peru has been growing faster than productivity since the start of the last decade

If it is now increased by a further 25%, the minimum wage would be among highest in the region (compared with average wages).

 In this context, raising the minimum wage could prevent job creation and increase informality

Increases in the minimum wage above productivity gains reduce the chance of formal employment for low-income workers, in particular young people.

• Any increases in the minimum wage should be part of a policy of extending the inclusion in social protection mechanisms

A high minimum wage makes it more difficult for low-income workers to have access to employment benefits such as health insurance, unemployment protection and pensions.

RESEARCH

BBVA

Is it high the minimum wage in Peru?

One way of assessing the level of the minimum wage is by comparing it with other countries in the region. This comparison should be made by measuring the minimum wage as a proportion of GDP per capita, so that it takes into account the heterogeneity of income and productivity levels in the countries.

Chart 1 shows that the minimum wage in July (PEN 600) was in the medium range in South America. It was above that of Brazil, Chile and Uruguay and similar to that of Colombia, which are all countries with a higher level of global competitiveness than ours. However, if the announced increase of the minimum wage to PEN 750 (a rise of 25%) took place, we would be among the countries with the highest ratios of minimum wage over GDP per capita in South America, together with Ecuador and Bolivia, which are countries that have a lower level of global competitiveness¹².



Source: IMF, Bloomberg and others. Prepared by: BBVA Research Peru Source: INEI. Prepared by: BBVA Research Peru

Another way of assessing the level of the minimum wage is by comparing its level with that required to remain in line with productivity increases. To do so, we have used the formula proposed by the National Labor Council (CNT)³:

$Var\%(RMV) = \pi + \alpha \times Var\%q$

% Change (RMV): Percentage change in the minimum wage.

 π : Accumulated inflation.

 α : Adjustment factor (average productivity proportion in last five years in retail and services sectors with regard to average productivity in manufacturing and construction).

% Change q: Percentual change in rolling average over the preceding five years worker productivity in the non-primary sector.

According to this formula, the minimum wage should be adjusted so that workers who receive it do not lose their purchasing power over time, and also obtain gains as their productivity increases. Chart 2 shows how the minimum wage would have moved since the middle of the last decade if it had been adjusted in this way⁴. On our calculations, the minimum wage in July was 6% above that resulting from the CNT proposal⁵. However, if the government proposal were to be implemented in January 2012, the minimum wage would be nearly 30% higher than if adjusted

4: The simulation has made the following assumptions: i) the increased average productivity of labor in the non-primary sector is 2.3% per year, corresponding to the average for the period 2001-2009; ii) the term **α** is equal to 0.72 which is the average calculated in CNT (2006) for the period 1998-2002; and iii) the inflation rate in 2011 and 2012 is 3% and 2% respectively. 5: The RMV is the minimum wage in Peru.

^{1:} In the case of Peru, we used the case of the small enterprise employment regime, under which 13 monthly salaries per year are paid: one for each month and 2 bonuses of half the monthly wage packet each. If we used a more restricted measure of productivity, such as GDP per worker, the results would show that the minimum wage ratio in Peru was higher than in Ecuador and Bolivia. 2: See WEF (2010).

^{3:} CNT is an institution made up of trade union organizations, employers' associations and representatives from the Ministry of Labor. For details of their proposal, see CNT (2006).

BBVA

to productivity increases. The average two-yearly increase arising from the CNT formula would in fact be around 9%, in line with the actual rise between last December and February, but well under the recent 25% decreed by the government.

Empirical evidence suggests that a high minimum wage encourages informality

Chart 3 shows a simple relationship between the ratio of the minimum wage over per capita GDP and the rate of informality in various Latin American countries⁶. The data show that on average the countries with the highest levels of minimum wage over per capita GDP also register the highest rates of informality.

80% 75% Bolivia 🖕 rate Guatemala 70% 65% employment Peru < Ecuador 60% Nicaragua 55% Brazil El Salvador Mexico 50% Panama **Rep Dom** 45% Informal Argentina, Costa Rica 40% Uruquav Chile 35% 30% 100% 140% 0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 120% Minimum wate / GDP per cápita

Chart 3 Minimum wage and informality in Latin America

Source: OECD, IMF and others. Prepared by: BBVA Research Peru

The relationship between minimum wage and informality has been empirically tested for the case of Peru. Dell Valle (2009) found that an increase in the minimum wage makes it less likely that workers whose income is below twice the minimum wage will keep their jobs, while making it more likely that workers with income under 1.2 times the minimum wage will remain in informal employment. In a more recent study, Palomino (2011) confirms these negative effects and in addition finds that the increases in the minimum wage do not have effects on workers' incomes. He therefore rules out its use as a redistributive policy. This effect would be particularly important for younger workers, since they are most affected by informality⁷.

The underlying problem is that in the case of unskilled workers, the minimum wage could exceed their level of productivity. In this situation, an increase in the minimum wage would mean that small companies, which are those that employ these workers most often, would have the choice of either laying off workers or recruiting them informally. If they chose the route of informality, employers would be avoiding the payment of the minimum wage and other employment benefits, while maintaining the workers employed, and also preventing their companies from reducing or halting production.

For example, the productivity of informal workers in Peru has been estimated at PEN 450 per month, which is equivalent to around 60% of the current level of the minimum wage⁸. In other words, the value of the production generated by these workers is lower than the minimum payment obliged by law. This means there is a strong incentive to hire workers informally.

The gap between productivity and the minimum wage is one of the factors encouraging the extensive rate of informality in Peru, which according to various estimates is above 60%⁹. As a result, the current level of the minimum wage would be encouraging the exclusion of low-income workers from benefits such as holidays, compensation for time in employment (CTS, an unemployment benefit scheme), health insurance and the generation of pension savings, thus increasing their exposure to the risks of unemployment and illness.

6: Corresponds to the rates under the productive definition of informality in OECD (2008). This definition includes unskilled self-employed workers, workers in small private firms of fewer than five employees, and workers receiving zero-income.
7: According to Velazco (2011), a third of informal workers in Peru are aged between 17 and 29. Barco and Vargas (2010) also find there is a positive link between age and formal employment, so that it is more likely that younger workers enter the informal sector.
8: Rodríguez and Higa (2009) estimate that informal employment contributes only 9.9% of GDP and that the average productivity of informal workers in constant 1994 PEN was PEN 2,776 between 2004 and 2008. At current PEN and in monthly terms, this average productivity amounts to PEN 445. We have used the small enterprise employment regime to compare this against the minimum wage.
9: See, for example, CIES (2011), Barco and Vargas (2010) and Rodríguez and Higa (2010).

BBVA

Conclusions

Currently, Peru has a minimum wage that is significantly high in relation to the country's average productivity, and in particular compared with the productivity of the informal worker. It has also been increasing at a slightly higher rate than productivity gains. However, an increase of 25% would put us among the countries with the highest minimum wages in Latin America in terms of average income.

This high level of minimum wage would heighten the problem of informality. Empirical evidence for Latin America and Peru points to a positive association between minimum wage levels and informality. This means that a high minimum wage in relation to productivity would encourage the exclusion of workers with lowest incomes from the benefits associated with formal employment.

Setting the minimum wage level should therefore be part of the overall goal of extending social protection measures for workers, such as health insurance, unemployment protection and retirement pensions. One way of preventing greater distortions in the labor market is to link increases in the minimum wage to productivity gains.

References

Barco, Daniel and Paola Vargas (2010). *El perfil del trabajador informal y el retorno de la educación*. Working Paper No. 2010-004. Central Reserve Bank of Peru. Lima.

Del Valle, Marielle (2009). *Impacto del ajuste de la Remuneración Mínima Vital sobre el empleo y la informalidad.* In Estudios Económicos Nº 16. Central Reserve Bank of Peru. Lima.

OECD (2008). *Latin America Economic Outlook 2009: Overview.* Development Center of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development.

Palomino, Jesús (2011). *Tesis de efectos del incremento de la Remuneración Mínima Vital sobre el empleo y los ingresos laborales*. Working Paper No. 313. Pontifical Catholic University of Peru. Lima.

Rodríguez, José and Minoru Higa (2010). Informalidad, *Empleo y Productividad en el Perú.* Working Paper No. 282. Pontifical Catholic University of Peru. Lima.

Velazco, Tatiana (2011). *Empleo informal y políticas de protección social en el Perú. Retos y oportunidades para el nuevo gobierno.* Consorcio de Investigación Económica y Social (CIES) and Women in Informal Employment: Globalizing and Organizing (WIEGO). Lima.

WEF (2010). The Global Competitiveness Report 2010-2011. World Economic Forum. Geneva.



DISCLAIMER

This document and the information, opinions, estimates and recommendations expressed herein, have been prepared by Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria, S.A. (hereinafter called "BBVA") to provide its customers with general information regarding the date of issue of the report and are subject to changes without prior notice. BBVA is not liable for giving notice of such changes or for updating the contents hereof.

This document and its contents do not constitute an offer, invitation or solicitation to purchase or subscribe to any securities or other instruments, or to undertake or divest investments. Neither shall this document nor its contents form the basis of any contract, commitment or decision of any kind.

Investors who have access to this document should be aware that the securities, instruments or investments to which it refers may not be appropriate for them due to their specific investment goals, financial positions or risk profiles, as these have not been taken into account to prepare this report. Therefore, investors should make their own investment decisions considering the said circumstances and obtaining such specialized advice as may be necessary. The contents of this document is based upon information available to the public that has been obtained from sources considered to be reliable. However, such information has not been independently verified by BBVA and therefore no warranty, either express or implicit, is given regarding its accuracy, integrity or correctness. BBVA accepts no liability of any type for any direct or indirect losses arising from the use of the document or its contents. Investors should note that the past performance of securities or instruments or the historical results of investments do not guarantee future performance.

The market prices of securities or instruments or the results of investments could fluctuate against the interests of investors. Investors should be aware that they could even face a loss of their investment. Transactions in futures, options and securities or high-yield securities can involve high risks and are not appropriate for every investor. Indeed, in the case of some investments, the potential losses may exceed the amount of initial investment and, in such circumstances, investors may be required to pay more money to support those losses. Thus, before undertaking any transaction with these instruments, investors should be aware of their operation, as well as the rights, liabilities and risks implied by the same and the underlying stocks. Investors should also be aware that secondary markets for the said instruments may be limited or even not exist.

BBVA or any of its affiliates, as well as their respective executives and employees, may have a position in any of the securities or instruments referred to, directly or indirectly, in this document, or in any other related thereto; they may trade for their own account or for third-party account in those securities, provide consulting or other services to the issuer of the aforementioned securities or instruments or to companies related thereto or to their shareholders, executives or employees, or may have interests or perform transactions in those securities or instruments or related investments before or after the publication of this report, to the extent permitted by the applicable law.

BBVA or any of its affiliates' salespeople, traders, and other professionals may provide oral or written market commentary or trading strategies to its clients that reflect opinions that are contrary to the opinions expressed herein. Furthermore, BBVA or any of its affiliates' proprietary trading and investing businesses may make investment decisions that are inconsistent with the recommendations expressed herein. No part of this document may be (i) copied, photocopied or duplicated by any other form or means (ii) redistributed or (iii) quoted, without the prior written consent of BBVA. No part of this report may be copied, conveyed, distributed or furnished to any person or entity in any country (or persons or entities in the same) in which its distribution is prohibited by law. Failure to comply with these restrictions may breach the laws of the relevant jurisdiction.

In the United Kingdom, this document is directed only at persons who (i) have professional experience in matters relating to investments falling within article 19(5) of the financial services and markets act 2000 (financial promotion) order 2005 (as amended, the "financial promotion order"), (ii) are persons falling within article 49(2) (a) to (d) ("high net worth companies, unincorporated associations, etc.") Of the financial promotion order, or (iii) are persons to whom an invitation or inducement to engage in investment activity (within the meaning of section 21 of the financial services and markets act 2000) may otherwise lawfully be communicated (all such persons together being referred to as "relevant persons"). This document is directed only at relevant persons and must not be acted on or relied on by persons who are not relevant persons. Any investment or investment activity to which this document relates is available only to relevant persons and will be engaged in only with relevant persons. The remuneration system concerning the analyst/s author/s of this report is based on multiple criteria, including the revenues obtained by BBVA and, indirectly, the results of BBVA Group in the fiscal year, which, in turn, include the results generated by the investment banking business; nevertheless, they do not receive any remuneration based on revenues from any specific transaction in investment banking.

BBVA is not a member of the FINRA and is not subject to the rules of disclosure affecting such members.

"BBVA is subject to the BBVA Group Code of Conduct for Security Market Operations which, among other regulations, includes rules to prevent and avoid conflicts of interests with the ratings given, including information barriers. The BBVA Group Code of Conduct for Security Market Operations is available for reference at the following web site: www.bbva.com / Corporate Governance".

BBVA is a bank supervised by the Bank of Spain and by Spain's Stock Exchange Commission (CNMV), registered with the Bank of Spain with number 0182.