Economic Watch

Madrid, 27 September 2011 Economic Analysis

BBVA

Spain Unit

Youth unemployment in Spain: causes and solutions*

- Youth unemployment in Spain is a persistent phenomenon that has deteriorated during the current crisis. At the levels now being registered (46.1% in 2Q11), the measures to fight it can be delayed no longer
- The causes of youth unemployment are to be found in both the education system and in the job market
- The former include relatively high dropout rates and the mismatch between the supply and demand for jobs at different education levels
- Among the latter the issues that stand out are the high level of segmentation and the limited effectiveness of active labour market policies (ALMP)
- Lowering youth unemployment requires coordinated actions that improve simultaneously both education and the labour market
- As far as education is concerned, the early identification reassesses potentially underperforming students, followed by ongoing support, are the prerequisites for reducing dropout rates. At the same time, greater flexibility in education programmes would help to reincorporate those who decide to leave school early. In order to improve the chances of job insertion and to avoid underemployment situations, what is really needed is a dual vocational education system. As for university education, what is needed is a reform that covers the number of degrees, their content, and their duration, along with closer ties to firms.
- With regards to the labour market, the best way to prevent temporariness from threatening young people's professional careers would be to create a single contract. Increasing the effectiveness of ALMP would require the following: i) increased funding; and ii) a change in the way the funds are spent: more specifically, a reduction in hiring subsidies and an increase in the money spent on professional recycling and training. The unemployed, not the employed, should be the focus of any training, the duration of which should be extended so as to provide more detailed knowledge.

^{*} This document is a abbreviate version of García, J.R. (2011): "Youth unemployment in Spain: causes and solutions" BBVA WP 11/31. Madrid.

BBVA

Economic Watch Madrid, 27 September 2011

1. Introduction

Youth unemployment is one of the main malfunctions in the Spanish labour market, impacting directly on the current and future economic situation of those unemployed youngsters and their environment and indirectly on the wider economy. While highlighted by the current crisis, it has been a problem in Spain for several decades. The unemployment rate among the active population under 25 years old has doubled that of the over-25s for the last four decades. In 2010, youth unemployment rate reached 41.6%, 2.3 times more that among the active population of over-25s (see Chart 1). And youth unemployment is not only high historically speaking, but also in a comparative perspective. Unemployment among Spain's under-25s has been 1.5 times that in the EU15 and 3.1 times that in Germany for the last 20 years. Having said this, the relationship in Spain between youth unemployment and unemployment among over-25s is similar to the EU15 average (see Chart 2), which suggests that the job insertion difficulties of youngsters compared to those of over-25s is similar in Spain to those in the rest of Europe, even though the causes are different, as we will see later.

The work conditions of the population, in general, and of youngsters, in particular, depend on the effectiveness of the education system. In an environment in which there is surplus job supply and increasingly demanding training requirements by companies, the likelihood of a youngster obtaining a stable and adecuately remunerated job depend, among other things, on the quantity and quality of education received. However, the relationship between the education system and the job market is bidirectional. For example, when the probability of getting a job drops, or when the job vacancies for a student wishing to work fall short of his/her expectations, the opportunity cost of continuing to study falls, and this tends to have a negative impact on the percentage of youngsters entering the job market, thereby limiting the rise in the unemployment rate.

For this reason, we need to look for the causes of youth unemployment in Spain in the deficiencies not only of the education system but also of the labour market. Of the former, the most important are early school leaving and the polarisation of education. While the most significant among the latter are the segmentation of the labour market and the ineffectiveness of active employment policies. However, the deterioration of youth labour force participation by a discouragement effect, greater than that recorded in the EU15 average, has limited the rise in unemployment. In Section 2 of this Economic Watch, we make a detailed analysis of the importance of each of these factors compared with other EU countries. Finally, section 3 includes some recommendations regarding economic policy, based on the main conclusions of the analysis carried out in the previous sections.



Source: BBVA Research based on Eurostat

Source: BBVA Research based on Eurostat



2. The interrelation between youth unemployment and the inefficiencies of the education system in comparative perspective

Education-related decisions and the evolution of the labour market are interlinked. The success of the transition process from the education system to the labour market is conditioned not only by the training received but also by the employment situation and outlook and by the quality of (worker-job) matching, all of which can have an impact on the decision to continue (or not) studying. This means that malfunctions that complicate the efficient transition from the education environment to the job environment will lead to increases in youth unemployment, the smaller the unemployment-triggered disincentive to join the labour force, the greater the increase. Below, we analyse some of the drivers behind youth unemployment.

2.1 Early school leaving

Spain stands out for its high early school leaving rate, which is taken to be the percentage of the population between 18 and 24 that has not completed higher secondary education (or second stage secondary education) and that is not participating in any kind of training. Chart 3 shows how Spain's rate (30.6%) is high compared with other EU27 member countries; only Malta (38.0%) and Portugal (34.3%) had higher rates during the five year period, 2005-2010. However, the most worrying part is not the figure itself, but its recurrent nature and limited relationship to the economic cycle over the last 15 years.



Source: BBVA Research based on Eurostat

Leaving the education system before completing higher secondary education complicates the transition to the work environment for youngsters and has negative and persistent repercussions on their professional career, not only because they lack of knowledge considered as basic for insertion into the labour market¹, but also because of their diminished propensity to participate in training activities throughout the course of their working life (see Chart 4).

^{1:} See OECD (2000).

Chart 4

BBVA



Participation in training activities (2005-2010) (% of population of each age groups and educational attainment)

Source: BBVA Research based on Eurostat

As Chart 5 demonstrates, the rate of youth unemployment varied very little between the different educational attainment until the end of the 90s. Then, during the first half of the last decade, while youngsters with higher secondary education and tertiary education experienced lower unemployment rates, those who had left the education system early saw their rate sticking above 19.5%. The effect of early school leaving on youth unemployment has become clear during the current crisis. For example, unemployment among youngsters with the lowest level of education rose almost 30 percentage points (pp) between 2007 and 2010 to 49.6%, 15.3pp more than among those who reached the second stage of secondary education and 20.7pp more than those who obtained a university degree².

Chart 6 shows how the Spain / EU15 differential on youth unemployment by education attainment has been continuously positive and across-the-board for the last 15 years. While the data appeared to suggest -as for the active population as a whole- a certain convergence during the preceding period of economic expansion, the deterioration in the job market during the crisis has confirmed that Spain's youth, particularly those with the lowest level of education, continue to have more difficulties accessing the labour market than their European peers. Overall, it is clear that education is playing a relevant role in determining the risk of a youngster becoming unemployed during the current crisis: the rise in the youth unemployment rate differential with the EU15 has been significantly greater among those with the lowest educational attainment (22.9pp between 2007-2010) than among those with a university degree (11.5pp).

^{2:} García, J. R., (2011) obtain that the conditional probability of being unemployed decreases with an individual's age and educational attainment, particularly during a recessive cycle such as the current one. Age plays a particularly significant role during the early years of a person's working life, and this can be seen in the importance that employers give to work experience when taking their hiring decisions. The positive effect of education has also grown during the course of the current crisis, to the extent where higher secondary education or university graduates decrease a 20% the risk of being unemployed. Meanwhile, Casquero, García and Navarro (2010) demonstrate that the level of education reached by a youngster is not only a factor that determines the probability of accessing a significant job (one lasting at least 6 months and involving at least 20 hours per week), but also the speed with which the incorporation into the labour market is achieved



Source: BBVA Research based on Eurostat

Source: BBVA Research based on Eurostat

2.2 Polarisation and education imbalance

The stubbornly high rate of early school leaving is not incompatible with the improved educational attainment of the new population cohorts registered during the last three decades³. However, the increase in training has been more focused on university education, and this has led to imbalances between job supply and demand at the different educational attainment which condition the youth unemployment evolution.

Charts 7, 8, and 9 show the breakdown of educational attainment by age in the European population in 2010. There are three interesting observations to be made from the Spanish data. Firstly, that the intergenerational improvement in Spain's educational attainment is in line with that for the EU15. For example, the percentage of the population in the 20-24 age bracket with firststage secondary education or lower is 1.8 times lower than that in the 55-64 bracket, both in Spain and in the EU15.

Secondly, that Spain's increase in human capital has not been sufficient to enable convergence with the surrounding countries, particularly when referring to the population with higher secondary education. Charts 7 and 8 show how Spain's youth continues to top the chart with the lowest level of education compared with EU15 (38.8% of the Spanish population aged 20-24 has no more than primary or lower secondary education, compared with 23.4% in the EU15) and bring up the rear in terms of the relative importance of second-stage secondary education (40.1% of under-25s in Spain compared with 61.1% in the EU15), mainly vocational education (VE)⁴.

And thirdly, that the progress made in Spain's educational attainment has been driven largely by the increased importance of university education among the youngest cohorts. The data in Chart 9 shows that university graduates now make up 39.2% of the population between 25 and 34, 5.1pp more than the EU15 average and more than double the percentage of university graduates in the 55-64 cohort (17.8%).

^{3:} Bedard (2001) suggests that the two phenomena are closely related. Using U.S. data, she finds that both the level of a population's education and its level of early school leaving are greater in those regions that have universities. This is due to the loss of market value of academic qualifications that are not gained through higher education. The easier access to university education in these regions means that more average-ability students go to university, and in turn this encourages below-average ability students to leave school is they find it impossible to pass for an average-ability student

^{4:} García (2011) shows the relative lack of VE-qualified people in Spain compared with those countries where a dual education system is beina used

BBVA

Chart 7 Population with first-stage secondary education or less, by age groups (% of total population in each cohort, 2010)



Chart 8 Population with second-stage secondary education, by age groups (% of total population in each cohort, 2010)



•20-24 ■25-34 ▲35-44 #45-54 #55-64

Source: BBVA Research based on Eurostat

Source: BBVA Research based on Eurostat

Chart 10

Chart 9 Population with tertiary education by age groups (% of total population in each cohort, 2010)



Education imbalance and underemployment (% of university graduates employed in ISCO 4 - 9 positions, selected countries)



Source: BBVA Research based on Eurostat

Source: BBVA Research based on Eurostat

The polarisation of Spain's educational attainment has given rise to an imbalance between the supply and demand for qualified work, resulting in high levels of graduate unemployment compared with elsewhere in Europe⁵ (see Chart 6), significant underemployment –or overgualification- and a drop in the education wage premium.

Chart 10 shows how underemployment⁶ is not a temporary problem, but one that has existed for the last two decades. The percentage of university graduates in jobs that require a lower qualification has exceeded 30% since the beginning of the 90s, which is the highest rate among

6: An employee with a university degree is understood to be underemployed when their professional status is between groups 4 and 9 of the International Standard Classification of Occupations 1988 (ISCO88):

Group 4: Administrative workers.

Group 5: Catering, personal, security, and retail service workers.

- Group 6: Qualified agriculture and fishery workers.
- Group 7: Artesans and qualified workers.
- Group 8: Machine and systems operators.

^{5:} The oversupply of university graduates is to be found mainly among those with law and social studies degrees, as pointed out by Dolado, Felgueroso and Jimeno (2000c).

Group 9: Non-qualified workers.

EU27 countries and 10pp higher than the EU15 average⁷. The incidence of overqualification is even higher among the younger cohorts of the population: the percentage of overqualified employees in the 25-29 age bracket exceeds 40% in Spain (see Chart 11).

Chart 11









Source: BBVA Research based on OECD data

Source: BBVA Research based on Felgueroso and Jiménez-Martín (2009)

The oversupply of university graduates and the resulting overqualification not only helps to explain the extent of youth unemployment rate among graduates⁸, but also the level among those youngsters with the lowest level of education. Firstly, because the advances in technology have led to an increase in the relative demand for qualified workers and reduced that for non-qualified⁹. And secondly, because the qualified workers take over the positions that have traditionally been occupied by non-qualified workers, either as a result of an oversupply of labour or due to an increase in the hiring standards of the employers¹⁰.

At the same time, underemployment has reduced the education wage premium. Unlike in surrounding countries¹¹, salary dispersion by level of education has dropped in Spain since the beginning of the 90s, particularly among males¹². As Chart 12 shows, while the education wage premium rises according to the level of education achieved and the quality of the worker-job matching, it has dropped during the last two decades (the higher the qualification the bigger the drop in yield): ceteris paribus, the salary received by a university graduate with a job matching their degree in the mid 90s was 80.3% higher than that of a primary-education employee, 10pp more than the current differential.

2.3 Segmentation of the labour market

The problems of youth job insertion and employability not only depend on the education received over the course of the training phase but also on the labour market's institutions. And it is here that temporary hiring plays a relevant role.

11: See Strauss and de la Maisonneuve (2009) and Boarini and Strauss (2010).

12: See Felgueroso, Hidalgo and Jiménez-Martín (2010).

^{7:} OECD (2010) shows that underemployment among those with higher secondary education is lower in all developed countries. However, as with university graduates, Spain is at the top of the list: in 2007, the percentage of employees with a higher secondary education who were carrying out non-qualified work (ISC088 category 9) stood at 17%.

^{8:} Blázquez (2005) found that overeducation had a negative effect on the probability of remaining in work in the Spanish labour marke 9: As shown by Berman, Bound and Machin (1998).

^{10:} The idea of the expulsion effect as an explanation for the increase in the incidence of unemployment among the least qualified was proposed by Thurow (1975). Dolado, Felgueroso and Jimeno (2000a and 2000b) demonstrate that the combination of the rigidities inherent in the Spanish labour market together with the increase in the relative supply of qualified workers explains the difference in the unemployment rate that exists from one educational attainment to the next. And finally, Dolado, Felgueroso and Jimeno (2000c) find that the expulsion effect helped to limit the rise in the unemployment rate among university graduates in Spain during the recessions at the end of the 70s and at the beginning of the 90s.

Chart 13

BBVA

From a regulatory standpoint, companies prefer to hire a youngster without work experience on a temporary basis if the difficulty of knowing their productivity ex ante is big. In the same way, an individual deciding to enter the labour market may opt for a temporary contract if they consider it to be the best way of obtaining a stable job. However, data indicates that only 12.4% of temporary workers below the age of 25 in Spain have voluntarily accepted a non-permanent contract (versus 14.4% in Europe)¹³. In addition, international empirical evidence¹⁴ shows that temporary work can turn out to be a trap for certain groups among the youth population that repeat these kinds of contracts in what turns into a vicious circle of temporariness-unemployment-limited training opportunities.

Chart 13 shows how in Spain a quarter of all wage earners aged 16 to 64 have temporary work contracts, 11pp more than the EU15 average. The temporary rate decreases with age in virtually all EU27 countries: in Spain, it ranges from 58.6% among the under-25s (similar to Germany, 56.9%, and France, 55.2%) to 11.7% for those wage earners in the 50-64 age bracket¹⁵. Overall, the concentration of temporary among the youth population is lower in Spain than for the whole of the EU15: while in Spain the percentage of under-25 wage earners with a temporary contract is 2.3 times the total temporary rate, in the EU15 it is 3.1 greater.







Source: BBVA Research based on INE data

14: See OECD (2007).

Source: BBVA Research based on Eurostat

^{13:} See Eurostat (2009).

^{15:} Although temporariness has been a distinguishing characteristic of youth unemployment since the mid 80s, its recent performance has been relatively favourable, especially during the second half of the 90s (see Chart 14).



Source: BBVA Research based on Eurostat

Source: BBVA Research based on INE data

What role does education play in determining the type of contract? Chart 15 shows how, in the EU15 as a whole, education reduces the probability of a temporary work contract right across all age groups. In Spain, the importance of education as an explanatory variable in the stability of employment is only detected among the over-25s. This indicates that the temporary work contract represents the way into the labour market for Spain's youth, irrespective of their level of education.

If the temporary contract were the best vehicle for gaining experience and achieving stable work, the type of contract with which a youngster enters the labour market would be irrelevant. However, empirical evidence demonstrates that temporariness is a persistent phenomenon among Spanish youngsters. As Chart 16 shows, 85% of those youngsters entering the labour market as wage earners do so with a non-permanent contract. Although this percentage drops over the course of their working life, it does so only slowly and in a decade later 35% still hold temporary contracts¹⁶, having alternated between periods of employment (temporary), unemployment and inactivity¹⁷.

2.4 The limited effectiveness of active labour market policies

With the aim of boosting labour force participation and reducing structural unemployment, Directive 7 of the "Europe 2020¹⁸" strategy suggests integrating the principles of flexicurity into the employment policies of Member States. These include active labour market policies (ALMP), which attempt to minimise episodes of unemployment and ease the transition process to new jobs.

Although the ALMP form a vital tool for improving the employability of the active population as a whole, their importance for youngsters -especially those who left school before completing higher secondary education- is absolutely key, given their limited specific training, lack of experience, and lower coverage in terms of unemployment benefit. For this reason, youngsters are one of the most highly represented groups in the activation programmes, particularly in those countries where youth unemployment is relatively high. As can be seen in Chart 17, Spain stands out for its quantity of ALMP participants as a percentage of the active population: around 15% of the total active population

^{16:} Estrada, Izquierdo and Lacuesta (2009) find a similar result using the Continuous Sample of Working Lifes. They suggest that the explanation for the ongoing nature of temporariness may lie in the difference in severance costs between the different types of contract, which complicate the conversion of temporary workers in to permanent workers

^{17:} Toharia and Cebrian (2007) show that 25% of those wage earners in 2004 who had entered the labour market before 1990 had never had a permanent contract, and that they had made Social Security contributions during no more than 65% of the maximum time possible (versus 88% in the case of those who had always enjoyed a permanent contract).

^{18: &}quot;Europe 2020" is the name given to the EU's growth strategy for the next decade. It is built around five objectives covering employment, innovation, climate change & energy, education, and social integration. In order to achieve these objectives, the EU has set out 10 directives. More details at: http://ec.europa.eu/europe2020/index_en.htm

and 30% of the active population under 25 have benefited from activation measures over the last five years. This means that the limited effectiveness of ALMP when it comes to reducing youth unemployment in Spain has little to do with the level of youth participation in activation programmes; but instead, probably, with the result of the small amount of resources destined to the introducing of measures and of the erroneous focussing of the programmes.

Although Spain's ALMP spending relative to GDP was higher than the EU15 average during the last five years¹⁹, the amount per individual-looking-for-work was lower. Chart 18 shows how ALMP spending per individual-looking-for-work was €1,740 in Spain during the 2005-2009 period (average figure), amount that was 12.5% lower than the EU15 average and 3 to 4 times less than the amounts invested by the Netherlands and Denmark, the two economies that represent the paradigm of labour flexicurity. Chart 18 also shows that spending on employment policies involving activation programmes was relatively reduced in Spain: over the last five years, ALMP absorbed 46.2% of the resources used for employment policies in Sweden, 37.2% in the case of Denmark, 26.9% in the EU15 and 25.2% in Spain.



Chart 18 Employment policy spending (€ per individual-looking-for-work on purchasing power parity basis, average 2005-2009)



Source: BBVA Research based Eurostat and OECD (2010b)

Source: BBVA Research based on Eurostat

An analysis of the breakdown of ALMP spending reveals that activation strategies may not be the most effective²⁰. Chart 19 shows how Spain channels almost half the resources earmarked for ALMP into encouraging the hiring and retaining of personnel (mainly by subsidising the company's Social Security contribution), while the EU15 assigns only a quarter. On the other hand, spending on training, labour integration, and professional recycling is relatively reduced. For example, during the 2005-2009 period, 24.5% of Spain's ALMP spending was used for training – versus 40.0% in the EU15-, and labour integration and recycling accounted for just 3.8%, compared with an EU15 average of 14.1%, 51.9% in Denmark and 63.4% in the Netherlands. Given that part of the job destruction that has taken place in the construction sector is structural, it is disappointing to see the relative lack of focus on activation measures that would increase the employability of this group of workers through capacitating them to take up positions in other sectors²¹.

In addition to the inadequate focus of ALMP spending, there have also been imbalances in some of the programmes that have conditioned their efficiency. Firstly, the training programmes have focused on those already in work instead of those out of work: less than 7% of the more than 3.8 million participants in training activities in 2010 were unemployed. Similarly, just 34.2% of the spending budgeted for job training programmes in 2011 is earmarked for the unemployed.

19: The average spending exceeded 0.6% of GDP in Spain, compared with 0.5% for the EU15.

20: A detailed definition of each of the ALMP can be found in Eurostat (2006).

^{21:} Bear in mind that the downturn in Spain's construction sector accounts directly for 27.0% of the job destruction that has taken place among under-25s and 79.4% of that among over-25s since the beginning of 2008.

Secondly, the unemployed who take part in training activities tend to opt for assignments with a low level of specialisation, with a reduced element of specific training, and excessively short courses. Data from Spain's Ministry of Employment and Immigration (MTIN) show that: i) a quarter of the unemployed who completed training programmes in 2010 acquired generic knowledge on training and management; and ii) half of the unemployed who underwent training in 2010 took part in courses that lasted less than 200 hours, and only 19.3% completed programmes of 400 hours or more (see Chart 20).



And finally, the effectiveness of the ALMP is limited –not only in Spain- by the existence of perverse effects resulting from design errors on some of the activation programmes. Particularly important here are the "deadweight effect" and the "substitution effect". The former refers to the certain amount of employment generated by policies that would also have been created without the policies; and the latter refers to the fact that a percentage of new workers benefiting from the programme are occupying positions that would have been occupied by individuals that did not participate in the programme. The available empirical evidence indicates that both effects are sizeable: together they may have accounted for 90% of the jobs generated by measures relating to salary cost subsidies for the newly employed in Belgium, Ireland, and the Netherlands²².

2.5 Labour force participation and the discouragement effect

The deterioration in youth unemployment has led to a widespread reduction in the propensity to participate in the labour market among under-25s in several European countries, and this has limited the rise in the youth unemployment rate during the current crisis.

As Chart 21 shows, Spain was the EU country that registered the largest increase in active population over the last decade: immigration flows into Spain were so great between 2000 and 2010 that the active population in the 25-64 age bracket rose by 5.6 million (36.5%) to 20.9 million. On the other hand, the active population below the age of 25 dropped significantly (-452 thousand people since 2000, -18.1%), almost six times the drop registered in the EU15 (-3.1%).

While in the EU15 growth in the active population under 25 increased according to the level of education, in Spain this relationship had an inverted u-shape: over the last decade the size of the active population fell more among those with a primary education and with university degrees (-19.4% and -29.0% respectively) and less among those who had attained higher secondary education (-7.8%) (see Chart 22). These developments in labour participation help to explain the lower relative dispersion of the youth unemployment rate across the different education levels in Spain.

22: See Martin and Grubb (2001) and Betcherman *et al.* (2007).



Source: BBVA Research based on Eurostat

Source: BBVA Research based on Eurostat

The drop in the size of Spain's young active population is explained not only by a lower inflow from inactive status but also by an increase in the outflow to inactive status. Chart 23 shows what percentage of inactive youngsters in a quarter remain inactive during the following quarter. Over the last five years -especially since mid 2008- the probability of a youngster remaining inactive increased almost 6 points, while that among the 25-and-overs was virtually unchanged. Chart 24, meanwhile, shows the probability of passing from active to inactive in each age group. We can see how the percentage of youngsters abandoning the labour market has risen since 2008, while that among the over-25s has fallen slightly. The increase in the outflow among youngsters is explained by the "discouragement effect", which offsets the drop in the probability of going from holding a job to becoming inactive: job destruction and the increase in the amount of time that they tend to remain unemployed have caused a gradual reduction in the intensity with which the young unemployed look for work, and this increases their propensity to become inactive.



Source: BBVA Research based on INE data

Source: BBVA Research based on INE data

3. Conclusions and economic policy recommendations

Youth unemployment in Spain is a persistent phenomenon that has worsened during the current crisis. And at the levels now being registered (46.1% in 2Q11), the measures to fight it can be delayed no longer. Choosing the right policies to ease the problem requires the correct identifying of its causes. The evidence presented in this Economic Watch indicates that there are serious shortcomings in Spain's education system and labour market, which explain the country's relatively high level of youth unemployment.

The former include early school leaving and the imbalance between job supply and demand at the different educational attainment, which complicates youngsters' access to the labour market and has a negative impact on their professional career. Although Spain has stood out for its high rate of early school leavers for the last two decades, it is only since the onset of the current crisis that this has had a clear repercussion on youth unemployment. For example, unemployment rate among youngsters with the lowest level of education rose more than 30pp between 2Q07 and 2Q11 to 52.6%, 10.3pp more than among those who reached the second stage of secondary education and 19.5pp more than those who obtained a university degree.

Spain's high level of early school leaving means that the relative weighting of the least educated youngsters is higher here than anywhere else in Europe. This, together with the extraordinary rise in the weighting of university graduates among the youngest cohorts to the detriment of those with a higher secondary education –particularly those with VE qualifications- has led to education polarisation of the population, which in turn has led to an imbalance between job supply and demand, a high rate of underemployment, and a fall in the wage premium of education.

Of the various labour market malfunctions that aggravate youth unemployment in Spain two of the most significant are the high level of segmentation and the limited effectiveness of ALMP. In the case of the former, data indicates that temporariness is now a common phenomenon among Spain's youth, its main characteristics being its high persistence, which condemns youngsters to a vicious circle of uncertainty, insufficient opportunities for specific training, and unemployment. And in the case of the latter, while youngsters make up one of the largest groups participating in the activation programmes, the reduced amount of resources channelled into ALMP and the wrong focus of measures conditions their effectiveness.

Now that we know the main causes, what measures might help to alleviate the problem of youth unemployment in Spain?

In terms of education, it is absolutely vital to reduce the rate of early school leaving. And to achieve this, action is required on two fronts. Firstly, through improved control and support for those students at risk²³. The introduction of an early-warning strategy together with the compulsory participation in intensive support classes (the most possible personalised) would help to meet this objective. In this respect, the measures approved by the Cabinet on July 29, which included the bringing forward to 15 the minimum age for entry into the Initial Professional Qualification Programmes (PCPI), together with easier access and an extension of the programme periods to two years, ought to encourage certain groups of the population to remain in the education system²⁴.

^{23:} De la Fuente and Doménech (2010) propose focus training efforts on the first schooling stages -particularly among minors from disadvantaged groups-.

^{24:} See Royal Decree 1146/2011, of July 29, which served to modify Royal Decree 1631/2006, of December 29, and under which the minimum level for Compulsory Secondary Education is established.

BBVA

At the same time, it is important to encourage youngsters to remain in the education system via policies that improve education appealing (particularly that which is vocational-related), to both students and parents²⁵. It would help if both were made aware of the return on education -in terms of employment-, which means that there have to be public information and advisory services. And finally, greater control over school absenteeism would also help.

The second front would involve the reincorporation of early school leavers into the education system. This would require increasing the flexibility of education programmes, and in this respect the recent reform of the VE system is a step in the right direction²⁶. The fact that those students who have completed the compulsory modules of the PCPI can now access the medium-level VE cycles is particularly positive, as is the access to higher-level VE cycles for those having passed a bridging course. Also beneficial are those training programmes aimed at youngsters over the age of 17 who left the education system early.

In addition to measures that try to combat early school leaving, it is important to improve the probabilities of job insertion among the younger population, easing their transition from the education system to the labour market, and avoiding underemployment situations. Undoubtedly, the introduction of a dual VE system similar to the one in Germany -described in Garcia (2011)-, would help to partially rebalance the structure of the education system and increase the employability of those youngsters with higher secondary education²⁷. For this, business leaders need to be more involved in the design of the training on offer and in the establishing of the working conditions for interns.

As for university education, what is really needed is a reform of the number of degrees, their content, and their duration. In particular, the possibility of obtaining a degree after three years of transversal training –as in France for example- would help reduce not only the number of youngsters that fail to complete their university studies, but also the cost of training, and underemployment. In order to improve the employability of graduates, we need to see greater links with the private sector. For example, certain subjects should have compulsory internships that provide the student with experience and specific knowledge. As with VE, it would be nice to see companies playing a bigger role in the creation of the training plans and in the financing of the internships.

^{25:} De la Fuente and Doménech (2010) propose offer the right incentives to each one of the participants in the educative process in order to improve the quality of the education. Particularly, they suggest increase the election possibility set of parents, modify the teaching personnel retribution sketch introducing concepts link to productivity, encourage the competition among centres through the publication of the standardized test results and increase their decision capacity in certain topics. In this regard, Wöessmann (2003) obtain that a large autonomy of educative centres on hiring and administration decisions and a large academic freedom have a positive effect in educational results.

^{26:} See Royal Decree 1147/2011, of July 29, which sets out the general plan for the Spanish education system's vocational education. 27: In fact, the reform of VE, mentioned earlier, makes timid progress in the dualisation of the system by allowing for the possibility of developing youth training programmes that allow part of the learning process to take place inside companies. Also, the Royal Decree-Law 10/2011, of August 26, covering urgent measures for promoting youth employment, tries to work towards improving the employability of those youngsters out of work. It establishes a new temporary contract for training and internship aimed at under-25s with few qualifiactions; the worker will combine his/her formal training -received in an education centre- with a work activity related to his/her training activity. The time spent working cannot represent more than 75% of a maximum working day. This new contract offers a relative reduction in the hiring costs of unemployed youngsters, given that it subsidises 100% of the companies' Social Security contributions for new employees at companies with a workforce of less than 250 (and 75% for those with 250 workers or more).

With regards to the labour market, the main priorities are the reduction in segmentation and a more successful activation of unemployed youngsters. The best way to prevent temporariness becoming a trap that threatens young people's professional careers, difficult human capital accumulation in the company and reduce their chances of employment is to create a single permanent contract (partial or full time). In this respect, BBVA (2009) proposed combining: i) a new single contract -that would not affect existing contracts- with severance costs that would rise according to the amount of time the worker had been with the company²⁸; and ii) a dismissal insurance system financed with social security contributions, similar to that in Austria, that would accumulate in individual accounts. If the worker decides to change company, they could take the account with them, and this would encourage labour mobility; if the worker is never dismissed throughout his working life, the sum accumulated in their account would be added to their retirement pension²⁹. Also recommended is an extension of the trial period on permanent contracts, so as to encourage the hiring of youngsters without experience and whose productivity cannot be assessed.

And finally, the redesigning and refocusing of ALMP are bound to improve their effectiveness. Firstly, and given the size of the problem, more funding is needed for activation programmes. An alternative (and one that is especially attractive during periods of fiscal consolidation), would be to improve their effectiveness. This would require: i) a rigorous evaluation of each measure, so as to maintain those that work and refocus those that do not; and ii) the implementation of new policies on small groups so as to test ex ante their effectiveness. Secondly, the breakdown of ALMP spending needs to be modified, with a reduction in the employment incentives -which should be aimed specifically at those groups with significant job insertion difficulties- and an increase in spending on professional recycling and training. When the cycle is adverse, the unemployed -not the employed-should be the focus of any training, the duration of which should be extended so as to impart more detailed knowledge. A continual updating of the catalogues containing the courses in which companies participate would help adapt the training on offer to the type of qualifications needed by the market. In the specific case of youngsters, the successful experiences in other economies suggest implementing an early activation strategy, the development of jobsearch assistance programmes that demand an active role from the participants, and the setting up of personalised plans that provide the unemployed youngster with integrated attention and advice. To achieve all this, it will be necessary to strengthen the Public Employment Services, to revise the structure of pay incentives for the personnel responsible for guiding the unemployed and to consider the suitability of private placement agencies.

^{28:} A similar proposal for a single discipline contract can be found at http://www.crisis09.es/propuesta/?page_id=37. Bentolila and Jansen
(2010) suggest that severance pay could differ according to the circumstances of the dismissal (fair or unfair).
29: Even though Law 35/2010, of September 17, which covers urgent measures for reforming the labour market, considers the second part of the proposal, the widespread introduction of the contract that encourages permanent hiring is unlikely to lead to the kind of decrease in duality that we would probably have seen with a single contract, which long-term implies -by definition- a zero temporary rate.

BBVA

References

BBVA (2009): Spain Economic Outlook March 2009. BBVA. Madrid. Available at: http://www. bbvaresearch.com/KETD/fbin/mult/0903_situacionespana_tcm346-189472.pdf?ts=1982011.

Bedard, K. (2001): "Human Capital versus Signaling Models: University Access and High School Dropouts", *Journal of Political Economy*, vol. 109 (4), 749-775.

Bentolila, S., y M. Jansen (2010): "La viabilidad jurídica del contrato único", en J. J. Dolado y F. Felgueroso (coordinadores): *Propuesta para la reactivación laboral en España*. Fedea, Madrid. Disponible en: http://www.crisisO9.es/ebook_propuesta_laboral/Propuesta_reactivacion_laboral_art_7.pdf.

Berman, E., J. Bound y S. Machin (1998): "Implications of skill-biased technological change: international evidence", *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, vol. 113 (4), 1245-1279.

Betcherman, G., M. Godfrey, S. Puerto, F. Rother y A. Stavreska (2007): "A review of interventions to support young workers: Findings of the youth employment inventory", World Bank Social Protection Discussion Paper, No. 0715, Washington D.C.

Blázquez, M. (2005): "Youth labour market integration in Spain: Search time job duration and skill mismatch", *Spanish Economic Review*, nº 7, 191-208.

Boarini, R., y H. Strauss (2010): "What is the Private Return to Tertiary Education? New Evidence from 21 OCDE Countries", *OCDE Journal: Economic Studies*, vol. 2010.

Casquero, A., M., D. García y M. L. Navarro (2010): "Especialización educativa e inserción laboral en España", *Estadística Española*, vol. 52 (175), 419-467.

De la Fuente, A., y R. Doménech (2010): "Ageing and real convergence: challenges and proposals" En Jimeno, J. F. (editor): *Spain and the euro. The first ten years*, 191-274. Bank of Spain. Madrid

Dolado, J. J., F. Felgueroso y J. F. Jimeno (2000a): "Youth labour markets in Spain: Education, training, and crowding-out", *European Economic Review*, vol. 44(4-6), 943-956.

- (2000b): "Explaining youth labour market problems in Spain: Crowding-out, institutions, or technology shifts? CEPR Discussion Papers, nº 2398.
- (2000c): "La inserción laboral de los titulados universitarios en España", Papeles de Economía Española, 86, 78–98.

Estrada, A., M. Izquierdo y A. Lacuesta (2009): "El funcionamiento del mercado de trabajo y el aumento del paro en España", *Banco de España, Boletín Económico, julio-agosto 2009*, 96-115.

European Commission (2011): *European Employment Observatory Review. Youth employment measures, 2010.* Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Inclusion. EC. Luxembourg.

Eurostat (2006): *Labour market policy database. Methodology.* Revision of June 2006. Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg.

• (2009): *Youth in Europe. A statistical portrait.* Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg.

Felgueroso, F., y S. Jiménez-Martín (2009): "The "New Growth Model". How and with Whom?", Documento de Trabajo 2009-39, Fedea.

Felgueroso, F., M. Hidalgo y S. Jiménez-Martín (2010): "Explaining the fall of the skill wage premium in Spain". En Cabrales, A. y M. Celentani (coordinadores): *Talent, effort and social mobility*, 175-230. Colección Monografías Fedea. Madrid.

García, J.R., (2011): "Youth unemployment in Spain: causes and solutions" BBVA WP 11/31. Madrid.

Martin, J. P., y D. Grubb (2001): "What works and for whom: A review of OECD countries" experiences with active labour market policies", *Swedish Economic Policy Review*, vol. 8(2), 9-56.

BBVA

OECD (2000): *From Initial Education to Working Life. Making Transitions Work.* OCDE Publishing. Paris.

- (2007): Jobs for Youth. Spain. OECD Publishing. Paris.
- (2010): Education at a Glance. OECD Publishing. Paris.
- (2010b): Off to a Good Start? Jobs for Youth. OECD Publishing. Paris.

Strauss, H., y C. de la Maisonneuve (2009): "The Wage Premium on Tertiary Education: New Estimates for 21 OECD Countries", *OECD Journal: Economic Studies*, vol. 2009, 183-210.

Toharia, L., y I. Cebrián (2007): *La temporalidad en el empleo: atrapamiento y trayectorias*. MTAS. Colección de informes y estudios. Serie empleo. Madrid.

Thurow, L. C. (1975): *Generating Inequality. Mechanisms of Distribution in the U.S. Economy.* Basic Books. New York.

Wöessmann, L. (2003): "Schooling resources, educational institutions and student performance: the international evidence", *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, 65 (2), 117-170.



DISCLAIMER

This document and the information, opinions, estimates and recommendations expressed herein, have been prepared by Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria, S.A. (hereinafter called "BBVA") to provide its customers with general information regarding the date of issue of the report and are subject to changes without prior notice. BBVA is not liable for giving notice of such changes or for updating the contents hereof.

This document and its contents do not constitute an offer, invitation or solicitation to purchase or subscribe to any securities or other instruments, or to undertake or divest investments. Neither shall this document nor its contents form the basis of any contract, commitment or decision of any kind.

Investors who have access to this document should be aware that the securities, instruments or investments to which it refers may not be appropriate for them due to their specific investment goals, financial positions or risk profiles, as these have not been taken into account to prepare this report. Therefore, investors should make their own investment decisions considering the said circumstances and obtaining such specialized advice as may be necessary. The contents of this document is based upon information available to the public that has been obtained from sources considered to be reliable. However, such information has not been independently verified by BBVA and therefore no warranty, either express or implicit, is given regarding its accuracy, integrity or correctness. BBVA accepts no liability of any type for any direct or indirect losses arising from the use of the document or its contents. Investors should note that the past performance of securities or instruments or the historical results of investments do not guarantee future performance.

The market prices of securities or instruments or the results of investments could fluctuate against the interests of investors. Investors should be aware that they could even face a loss of their investment. Transactions in futures, options and securities or high-yield securities can involve high risks and are not appropriate for every investor. Indeed, in the case of some investments, the potential losses may exceed the amount of initial investment and, in such circumstances, investors may be required to pay more money to support those losses. Thus, before undertaking any transaction with these instruments, investors should be aware of their operation, as well as the rights, liabilities and risks implied by the same and the underlying stocks. Investors should also be aware that secondary markets for the said instruments may be limited or even not exist.

BBVA or any of its affiliates, as well as their respective executives and employees, may have a position in any of the securities or instruments referred to, directly or indirectly, in this document, or in any other related thereto; they may trade for their own account or for third-party account in those securities, provide consulting or other services to the issuer of the aforementioned securities or instruments or to companies related thereto or to their shareholders, executives or employees, or may have interests or perform transactions in those securities or instruments or related investments before or after the publication of this report, to the extent permitted by the applicable law.

BBVA or any of its affiliates' salespeople, traders, and other professionals may provide oral or written market commentary or trading strategies to its clients that reflect opinions that are contrary to the opinions expressed herein. Furthermore, BBVA or any of its affiliates' proprietary trading and investing businesses may make investment decisions that are inconsistent with the recommendations expressed herein. No part of this document may be (i) copied, photocopied or duplicated by any other form or means (ii) redistributed or (iii) quoted, without the prior written consent of BBVA. No part of this report may be copied, conveyed, distributed or furnished to any person or entity in any country (or persons or entities in the same) in which its distribution is prohibited by law. Failure to comply with these restrictions may breach the laws of the relevant jurisdiction.

In the United Kingdom, this document is directed only at persons who (i) have professional experience in matters relating to investments falling within article 19(5) of the financial services and markets act 2000 (financial promotion) order 2005 (as amended, the "financial promotion order"), (ii) are persons falling within article 49(2) (a) to (d) ("high net worth companies, unincorporated associations, etc.") Of the financial promotion order, or (iii) are persons to whom an invitation or inducement to engage in investment activity (within the meaning of section 21 of the financial services and markets act 2000) may otherwise lawfully be communicated (all such persons together being referred to as "relevant persons"). This document is directed only at relevant persons and must not be acted on or relied on by persons who are not relevant persons. Any investment or investment activity to which this document relates is available only to relevant persons and will be engaged in only with relevant persons. The remuneration system concerning the analyst/s author/s of this report is based on multiple criteria, including the revenues obtained by BBVA and, indirectly, the results of BBVA Group in the fiscal year, which, in turn, include the results generated by the investment banking business; nevertheless, they do not receive any remuneration based on revenues from any specific transaction in investment banking.

BBVA is not a member of the FINRA and is not subject to the rules of disclosure affecting such members.

"BBVA is subject to the BBVA Group Code of Conduct for Security Market Operations which, among other regulations, includes rules to prevent and avoid conflicts of interests with the ratings given, including information barriers. The BBVA Group Code of Conduct for Security Market Operations is available for reference at the following web site: www.bbva.com / Corporate Governance".

BBVA is a bank supervised by the Bank of Spain and by Spain's Stock Exchange Commission (CNMV), registered with the Bank of Spain with number 0182.



BBVA Research Spain:

Chief Economist Miguel Cardoso +34 91 374 39 61 miguel.cardoso@bbva.com

Mónica Correa +34 91 374 64 01 monica.correa@bbva.com

Juan Ramón García +34 91 374 33 39 juanramon.gl@bbva.com

BBVA Research

Group Chief Economist Jorge Sicilia

Emerging Economies: Alicia García-Herrero alicia.garcia-herrero@bbva.com.hk Cross-Country Emerging Markets Analysis

Álvaro Ortiz Vidal-Abarca Álvaro Ortiz Vidal-Abarca alvaro.ortiz@bbva.com Asia

Stephen Schwartz stephen.schwartz@bbva.com.hk China

Daxue Wang daxue.wang@bbva.com.hk India Sumedh Deorukhkar

deorukhkar@grupobbva.com South America

Joaquín Vial jvial@bbvaprovida.cl

Argentina Gloria Sorensen gsorensen@bbvafrances.com.ar Chile

Alejandro Puente apuente@grupobbva.cl

Colombia Juana Téllez juana.tellez@bbva.com.co

Peru **Hugo Perea** hperea@grupobbva.com.pe

Venezuela Oswaldo López oswaldo_lopez@provincial.com

Mexico Adolfo Albo a.albo@bbva.bancomer.com Macroeconomic Analysis Mexico Julián Cubero

juan.cubero@bbva.bancomer.com

Félix Lores +34 91 374 01 82 felix.lores@bbva.com

Leticia Riva leticia.riva@bbva.com +34 91 374 62 66 Miguel Pérez +34 91 374 75 28 m.perez.villaseca@bbva.com

Virginia Pou +34 91 537 77 23 virginia.pou@bbva.com Pep Ruiz +34 91 537 55 67 ruiz.aguirre@bbva.com

Camilo Andrés Ulloa +34 91 537 84 73 camiloandres.ulloa@bbva.com

Developed Economies: Rafael Doménech r.domenech@bbva.com Spain

Miguel Cardoso miguel.cardoso@bbva.com

Europe Miguel Jiménez mjimenezg@bbva.com United States

Nathaniel Karp nathaniel.karp@bbvacompass.com

Financial Systems & Regulation: Santiago Fernández de Lis sfernandezdelis@bbva.com Financial Systems Ana Rubio arubiog@grupobbva.com

Pensions David Tuesta david.tuesta@bbva.com

Regulatin and Public Policy María Abascal

maria.abascal@bbva.com

Economic Scenarios Juan Ruiz juan.ruiz@bbva.com Fianacial Scenarioss Sonsoles Castillo

s.castillo@bbva.com Innovation & Processes

Clara Barrabés clara.barrabes@bbva.com Antonio Pulido ant.pulido@grupobbva.com Global Equity Ana Munera ana.munera@grupobbva.com Global Credit Javier Serna Javier.Serna@bbvauk.com Global Interest Rates, FX and Commodities Luis Enrique Rodríguez Iuisen.rodríguez@grupobbva.com

Market & Client Strategy:

Contact details:

BBVA Research

Paseo Castellana, 81 - 7 floor 28046 Madrid (Spain) Tel: +34 91 374 60 00 y 91 537 70 00 Fax: +34 91 374 30 25 bbvaresearch@bbva.com www.bbvaresearch.com Regulatin ar María Abas maria.abasc Global Areas: